

Muriel Gargaud
Editor-in-Chief

Ricardo Amils
José Cernicharo
H. James Cleaves II
William M. Irvine
Daniele L. Pinti
Michel Viso
Editors

SPRINGER
REFERENCE

Encyclopedia of Astrobiology

 Springer

Encyclopedia of Astrobiology

Muriel Gargaud (Editor-in-Chief)

Ricardo Amils, José Cernicharo Quintanilla, Henderson James (Jim) Cleaves II,
William M. Irvine, Daniele L. Pinti and Michel Viso (Eds.)

Encyclopedia of Astrobiology

With 547 Figures and 68 Tables

 Springer

Editor
Muriel Gargaud
Astrophysicist
Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Bordeaux
BP 89
33270 Floirac
France

ISBN 978-3-642-11271-3 e-ISBN 978-3-642-11274-4

Print and electronic bundle under ISBN 978-3-642-11279-9
DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-11274-4
Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2011927757

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Foreword

Are we alone? Long an object of speculation or fiction, if not heresy, this question entered the field of science on November 1, 1961, at the National Radio Astronomy Observatory in Green Bank, Virginia, where a number of scientists, including Melvin Calvin, who had just been awarded the Nobel prize in chemistry for his work on photosynthesis, and the charismatic Carl Sagan, gathered at the invitation of a young astronomer, Frank Drake, to launch the Search for ExtraTerrestrial Intelligence (SETI) project. Since then, batteries of increasingly powerful radiotelescopes have been scanning space for messages sent out by some extraterrestrial civilization. So far in vain.

At the same time, in the wake of widening space exploration, a new discipline was born that has the distinctive peculiarity of having three names – exobiology, astrobiology, bioastronomy – and no as-yet-known object. The purpose of this new discipline is more modest than that of the SETI project: to detect signs of extraterrestrial life, not necessarily intelligent.

To guide this quest, we have available vast knowledge that has been gained in the last few decades concerning the basic mechanisms of life. This knowledge, in turn, has illuminated our concept of the origin of life. Even though we do not know how or under what conditions this phenomenon took place, we may safely affirm that if life arose naturally, which is the only scientifically acceptable assumption, its origin must have depended on “chemistry.” By its very nature, chemistry deals with highly deterministic, reproducible events that are bound to take place under prevailing physical-chemical conditions. If even a very slight element of chance affected chemical reactions, there would be no chemical laboratories, no chemical factories. We could not afford the risk.

A conclusion that emerges from this consideration is that life, as a product of environmentally enforced chemistry, was bound to arise under the physical-chemical conditions that prevailed at the site of its birth.

This statement, at least, holds true for the early steps in the origin of life, until the appearance of the first replicable substance, most likely RNA. Once this happened, “selection” became added to chemistry, introducing an element of chance in the development of life. Contrary to what has often been claimed in the past, this fact does not necessarily imply that the process was ruled by contingency. There are reasons to believe that, in many instances, chance provided enough opportunities for selection to be optimizing, and, therefore, likewise obligatory under prevailing conditions.

Thus, in so far as chemistry and optimizing selection played a dominant role in the process, the development of life appears as the obligatory outcome of prevailing conditions. Hence the assumption that the probability of the appearance elsewhere in the universe of forms of life resembling Earth life in their basic properties is approximately equal to the probability of the occurrence elsewhere in the universe of the physical conditions that obtained at the site where Earth life arose.

In the eyes of many astronomers, this probability is very high. It is estimated that some 30 billion sunlike stars exist in our galaxy alone and that the total number of galaxies in the universe is on the order of 100 billion. This means, to the extent that our galaxy may be taken as a representative sample of galaxies in general, there may be some 3,000 billion sunlike stars in the universe. Unless our solar system should be the product of extremely unlikely events, the probability of there being planets similar to Earth (or to whatever celestial object served as the cradle of Earth life) seems very strong.

Recent findings are most encouraging in this respect, by revealing that planet formation is not a rare event, with more than 400 planets already identified around a number of nearby stars. Although no habitable Earthlike extrasolar planet has yet been found, this may be partly due to technical limitations. The prospects that, with improved technologies, such a planet may be discovered some time in the future are far from negligible. Signs of life on such a planet, although more difficult to detect, may likewise yield to technological progress.

As by now, the enormous research effort expended within the framework of the new discipline of exobiology-cum-bioastronomy-cum-astrobiology has already produced a wealth of new findings, in fields ranging from physics and

cosmology to chemistry, biochemistry, and molecular biology. These findings have provided rich material for this Encyclopedia. Its editors and authors are to be commended for making this material widely available in easily accessible form.

Christian de Duve

14 January 2011

Reference

1. de Duve C (2005) Singularities landmarks on the pathways of life. Cambridge University Press, New York

Preface

Where do we come from? Are we alone in the Universe? Where are we going? These are the questions addressed by astrobiology – the study of the origin, evolution, distribution, and the future of life in the Universe.

Encyclopedias are unusual works. A quote from the prologue of one of the more famous early encyclopedias is instructive:

- ▶ “. . . the purpose of an encyclopedia is to collect knowledge disseminated around the globe; to set forth its general system to the men with whom we live, and transmit it to those who will come after us, so that the work of preceding centuries will not become useless to the centuries to come; and so that our offspring, becoming better instructed, will at the same time become more virtuous and happy, and that we should not die without having rendered a service to the human race in the future years to come”. Diderot and d’Alembert, *Encyclopédie* (1751).¹

Diderot and d’Alembert’s eighteenth century *Encyclopédie* was indeed ground-breaking, but perhaps more remarkable is the degree to which their description resembles the modern concept of genetic inheritance and natural selection: a civilization’s accumulated knowledge being analogous to the traits encoded in an organism’s time-tested DNA genome. In many ways, the *Encyclopédie* addressed the goals of astrobiology; between the lines, we find aspects of what makes biology *biology*.

Encyclopedias have now existed for approximately 2,000 years, the first being Pliny the Elder’s *Naturalis Historia*, which was a compendium of the knowledge available to a citizen of the Roman Empire as documented by the first century AD.² It contained ~ 20,000 facts from 2,000 sources written by 200 authors. The present volume contains an unknown number of “facts” (indeed, some of the content will likely be proven false, as science is a living, breathing accumulation of presently accepted knowledge, all subject to future revision), but it does include more than 1,700 contributions, references uncounted thousands of prior publications, and is written by 385 authors.

Modern encyclopedias are derived from the dictionaries of the eighteenth century. The two are similar in that both are arranged alphabetically and generally are the work of a team of expert contributors. They differ in that encyclopedias contain a deeper level of analysis of the included terms and attempt to cross-reference and place the assembled contents in a useful context.

The first encyclopedias attempted to cover all human knowledge. This is now impossible for a printed work because the body of human knowledge is presently growing exponentially, with no end in sight. Encyclopedias now exist for almost every definable field of study. A field requires a certain degree of maturity to have an encyclopedia, and conversely, the publication of an encyclopedia commonly records the birth of a definable field of study. Astrobiology is an interdisciplinary field, spanning geology, chemistry, physics, astronomy, biology, engineering, and computer science, to name only the core fields of study.

While some of these fields of research are fairly well mapped, many others are in rapid flux, and still others remain perennially enigmatic, awaiting future breakthroughs by the scientists of tomorrow. To this end, the *Encyclopedia of Astrobiology* is primarily aimed at younger scientists or scientists new to the field who wish to understand how their expertise coincides with current knowledge in other areas of study. It is hoped that the encyclopedia will serve to orient researchers to the current state of the art. A more in-depth discussion of many of the topic areas can be obtained by referring to college or graduate level texts or to the articles cited at the end of many of the entries.

Encyclopedias are snapshots of the state of knowledge at a particular time. In 1844, the book *Vestiges of the Natural History of Creation* was published anonymously (it was later found to have been written by Scottish publisher William Chambers) and created a public sensation.³ It offered a sweeping and very secular view of the development of the Solar System, stretching from the nebular hypothesis to the development of man. While primitive by modern standards (it was, after all, based on state-of-the-art early nineteenth century science), it was in many ways remarkably similar to modern cosmology. In broad brushstrokes, it is the precursor to the worldview developed in Carl Sagan’s *Cosmos*⁴ and the grand view of myriads of habitable planets implicit in the Drake equation. The implication of *Vestiges* was simply this: the

Universe operates everywhere and at all times according to physical principles, and the evolution of matter is largely predictable and often progressive, proceeding from the simple to the complex.

Science has advanced dramatically since Chambers' book was published. It is truly a long way from Sir William Herschel's 40-ft telescope to the Herschel Space Telescope,⁵ and from a Universe with seven known planets orbiting the Sun to one with more than 500 planets orbiting other stars. It is also a long way from the work of Black, Priestly, and Lavoisier⁶ to SELEX technology and high-throughput automated chemical screening and analysis, and from Lyell's *Principles of Geology*⁷ to plate tectonics and isotope geochemistry. Nonetheless, certain questions permeate the sciences across time and discipline. Woese's three domains of life⁸ are direct descendents of Linnaeus' early classification scheme, and both are attempts to unify and classify terrestrial organisms. Darwinism has offered an underlying mechanism for doing so that has allowed for unification of the assorted observations of the living world. However, the question of whether terrestrial life is unique in the universe has fascinated mankind for millennia.

It was not until 1959, when NASA began funding the search for life in the Universe in its Exobiology program, that we at last achieved the technological prowess to try to answer this question.⁹ The paleontologist George Gaylord Simpson famously noted shortly thereafter that Exobiology was a science "that has yet to demonstrate that its subject matter exists."

NASA's first exobiology grant was awarded to Wolf Vishniac for the construction of the Wolf Trap, a device for detecting bacteria on Mars. Due to size limitations, the device never flew, but various descendants have made the trip to Mars and returned various negative or tantalizingly ambiguous results. These results are, amusingly, either disappointingly or encouragingly ambiguous, depending on one's point of view. Despite remarkable progress in the sciences, humanity still has no answer to the question, "Are we alone?," though the question is in principle answerable. The search continues enthusiastically.

Why should we think there might be life elsewhere in the Universe? In 1960, the radio-astronomer Frank Drake developed his now-famous equation for estimating the number of communicating civilizations in the Galaxy:

$$N = R * \times f_p \times n_e \times f_e \times f_i \times f_c \times L,$$

where N is the number of civilizations in our galaxy for which communication might be possible, R^* is the average rate of star formation per year, f_p is the fraction of stars that have planets, n_e is the average number of planets that can support life per star with planets, f_e is the fraction of the planets that can support life on which life actually develops, f_i is the fraction of those on which intelligent life develops, f_c is the fraction of those on which civilizations communicate using detectable signals, and L is the length of time these civilizations communicate.

When Drake unveiled his equation in 1960 and estimated that there were maybe ten communicating civilizations in the Galaxy, few of the parameters were known with any certainty; the rate of star formation was perhaps the only solid measurable value. Fifty years later, the flourishing search for exoplanets has placed the focus on the second value (notably, it now appears to be close to what Drake estimated, $\sim 50\%$). Hundreds of exoplanets have been found around other stars, and current technology allows the observation of even small planets. Theory suggests that the fraction of stars with Earth-like planets is somewhere near 10% (again, surprisingly, and a tribute to back-of-the-envelope calculations, not far from Drake's initial estimate).

The least well-known value is the question of how difficult is it for life to begin (one of the "perennially enigmatic" facts mentioned above). Based on present knowledge, the fraction of planets on which life actually emerges (f_i) could be anywhere from very, very close to 0 or far closer to 1. We simply do not know. On the ends of the spectrum, the scientific community is divided into two equally "hunch-"based camps: first, life is inevitable and is a cosmic imperative (where conditions are appropriate) and, second, the origin of life requires such a concatenation of improbable events that it is the scientific equivalent of a miracle.

On the one planet we know of with life, our own, putative evidence in the form of isotopically light carbon appears in the earliest known sedimentary rocks, suggesting life emerged relatively early in the history of the planet, although we do not know whether this took place 100 years or 700 million years after the planet formed. This implies that either something extraordinary happened on Earth, or that the origin of life is a mundane phenomenon on young planets, given appropriate chemistry, environmental conditions, and enough time. Radioastronomy has provided a glimpse of the chemical inventory of the cosmos which does appear to be universal. Spectral signatures of a veritable zoo of organic compounds suggest that the Universe is strewn with the potential precursors of life. Organic carbon (in the form of carbon monoxide) has now been observed as far back as 13 billion years ago, only some 700 million years after the birth of

the Universe in the Big Bang. The picture emerging, reminiscent of Chambers' universe, is that physics and chemistry are the same everywhere in the Universe, and that the Earth, although remarkable in many respects, may not be unique.


As in any factorial equation, the most important values are the ones with the largest uncertainty. Two approaches could shed light on the "f_i problem": the duplication of the process in the laboratory or the discovery of life on another planet. It is difficult to say whether the first approach will ever succeed to anyone's complete satisfaction, given that the origin of life on Earth was a historical event that happened when no one was around to witness it. The second approach, while fraught with technological difficulties, is perhaps more promising. To that end, numerous instruments and space missions have been designed and launched to explore the Solar System and beyond. The spectral signatures of planets around nearby stars are being monitored for the characteristic signs of life such as the signature of disequilibrium chemistry in the form of the presence in their atmospheres of both oxidized and reduced gases.

While the answers to the vast questions that define astrobiology as a field of study are unclear, it is evident that answering them will require an interdisciplinary effort, stretching across international borders. One is hesitant to speculate what the answer to the question, "Are we alone?" will ultimately be. As good scientists, we should probably withhold judgment until the data are in. As better scientists, we must join hands and find the data. The editors of the *Encyclopedia of Astrobiology* hope that this volume will contribute to this effort.

The Editors

Notes

1. A complete English and French version of the *Encyclopédie* can be found at <http://quod.lib.umich.edu/d/did/>
2. For a complete English translation of Pliny the Elder's *The Natural History* by John Bostock see <http://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/text?doc=Plin.+Nat.+toc&redirect=true>. A complete Latin version can be found at <http://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/text?doc=Perseus:text:1999.02.0138:toc&redirect=true>
3. Chambers R (1994) *Vestiges of the natural history of creation and other evolutionary writings*. University of Chicago Press
4. *Cosmos* was a remarkable 13-part popular science series narrated by Carl Sagan which aired in 1980. Most if not all of the episodes can be viewed on line, and a book was spun off: Sagan C (1985) *Cosmos*. Ballantine Books
5. For a survey of the early developments in astronomy, see Lankford J (ed) (1996) *History of astronomy: an encyclopedia*, first edition. Routledge
6. For an excellent discussion of the early history of chemistry (including the work of Black, Priestly and Lavoisier) see Partington JR (1989) *A short history of chemistry*, 3rd revised edition. Dover Publications
7. Lyell C (2010) *Principles of Geology: Being an Inquiry How Far the Former Changes of the Earth's Surface Are Referable to Causes Now in Operation*. Nabu Press (March 1, 2010). Originally published in three volumes between 1830–1833
8. Woese C, Kandler O, Wheelis M (1990) Towards a natural system of organisms: proposal for the domains Archaea, Bacteria, and Eucarya. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 87(12): 4576–4579
9. For an insightful recounting of the early history of NASA's early efforts in exo- and astrobiology (including discussion of the roles of Wolf Vishniac and Frank Drake) see Dick SJ, Strick JE (2005) *The living universe: NASA and the development of astrobiology*. Rutgers University Press



Acknowledgments

A brief note is warranted about how we constructed the Encyclopedia. A glossary of terms was first compiled by a team of experts in each field. It was then cross-referenced between fields to check for conceptual overlap and was then both expanded and pared down to produce a consensus entry list.

Authors with peer-recognized contributions to their fields of study were then invited to contribute entries appropriate to their expertise. After a final draft was submitted, entries were proofread and vetted for scientific accuracy and readability by a team of field editors, then edited and modified to be accessible by a reader with general knowledge of college-level science. Finally, the entries were cross-referenced, and edited for stylistic consistency and ease of reading.


The editors would like to sincerely thank all the authors of the content of the Encyclopedia for their efforts and understanding throughout the long and at times difficult triple review process. We are particularly grateful to those who also accepted to act as non-specialist reviewers for fields other than their own.

We would also like to thank several people who, although not authors, served as external reviewers for a significant number of entries: Maxence Claeys (Ecole Centrale Paris, France), Carlos García-Ferris (Universitat de València, Spain), David Hochberg (CAB, Madrid, Spain), Pierre Léna (Académie des Sciences, Paris, France), Susan Leschine (University of Massachusetts Amherst, USA), Jean Vandenhoute (University of Namur, Belgium).

We express our gratitude to our respective institutions, especially those who facilitated and aided in the organization and funding of editorial meetings: Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS, France), Centre National d'Études Spatiales (CNES, France), Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Bordeaux (France), Université Bordeaux 1 (France), GEOTOP research center for geochemistry and geodynamics (Université de Québec à Montréal, Canada), Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, European Science Foundation (Archean Environment Research Networking Program), Centro de Astrobiología (CAB, INTA-CSIC, Madrid, Spain), Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Cooperative Agreement NNX09AH33A with the University of Massachusetts

Last, but certainly not least, we express our sincere appreciation to the editorial staff of Springer, in particular Saskia Ellis, Marion Kraemer and Jana Simniok, who lent technical and administrative support throughout the entire process.

The Editors



Editor-in-Chief

Muriel Gargaud

Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Bordeaux
CNRS and Université Bordeaux 1
2 Rue de l'Observatoire
33270 Floirac
France
Muriel.Gargaud@obs.u-bordeaux1.fr
Field: Astrophysics



Book Editors

Ricardo Amils

Laboratory of Extremophiles
Department of Planetology and Habitability
Centro de Astrobiología (INTA-CSIC)
Ctra de Ajalvir km 4
28850 Torrejón de Ardoz
Madrid
Spain
ramils@cbm.uam.es
Field: Geomicrobiology

José Cernicharo Quintanilla

Department of Astrophysics
Centro de Astrobiología (INTA-CSIC)
Ctra de Ajalvir km 4
28850 Torrejón de Ardoz
Madrid
Spain
jcernicharo@cab.inta-csic.es
Field: Astrochemistry and Astrophysics

Henderson James (Jim) Cleaves II

Geophysical Laboratory
Carnegie Institution of Washington
Washington, DC 20015
USA
hjcleaves@ciw.edu
Field: Chemistry

William M. Irvine

Goddard Center for Astrobiology and
Astronomy Department
University of Massachusetts
619 Lederle Graduate Research Center
Amherst, MA 01003
USA
irvine@astro.umass.edu
Field: Astrochemistry and Planetary Science

Daniele L. Pinti

GEOTOP and Département des sciences de la Terre et
de l'atmosphère
Université du Québec à Montréal
CP 8888, succ. Centre-Ville
Montréal, Québec, H3C 3P8
Canada
pinti.daniele@uqam.ca
Field: Earth Sciences

Michel Viso

Astrobiology
CNES/DSP/EU
Paris
France
michel.viso@cnes.fr
Field: Space Missions and Planetary Protection

Field Editors

Francis Albarede

Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon
46, Allée d'Italie
69364 Lyon Cedex 7
France
francis.albarede@ens-lyon.fr
Field: Early Earth Geochemistry

Nicholas Arndt

Maison des Géosciences LGCA
Université de Grenoble
1381 rue de la Piscine
38400 St Martin d'Hères
France
nicholas.arndt@ujf-grenoble.fr
Field: Archean Geology

Hugues Bersini

IRIDIA
Université Libre de Bruxelles
Avenue Franklin Roosevelt 50
B-1050 Brussels
Belgium
bersini@ulb.ac.be
Field: Artificial Life

Carlos Briones

Laboratory of Molecular Evolution
Centro de Astrobiología (INTA-CSIC)
Instituto Nacional de Técnica Aeroespacial
Carretera de Ajalvir, Km. 4
28850 Torrejón de Ardoz
Madrid
Spain
brioneslo@inta.es
Field: Genetics and Evolution

Steven Charnley

Astrochemistry Laboratory
Solar System Exploration Division, Code 691
Science and Exploration Directorate
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
Greenbelt, MD 20771
USA
steven.b.chnley@nasa.gov
Field: Interstellar Medium (gas phase)

Therese Encrenaz

LESIA
Observatoire de Paris
92190 Meudon
France
therese.encrenaz@obspm.fr
Field: Outer Solar System and Comets

Felipe Gómez

Laboratory of Extremophiles
Department of Planetology and Habitability
Centro de Astrobiología (INTA-CSIC)
Ctra de Ajalvir km 4
28850 Torrejón de Ardoz
Madrid
Spain
gomezgf@cab.inta-csic.es
Field: General Biology

Gerda Horneck

DLR German Aerospace Center
Institute of Aerospace Medicine, Radiation Biology
51170 Köln
Germany
gerda.horneck@dlr.de
Field: Microbiology in Space

Emmanuelle Javaux

Geology Department
University of Liège
17 allée du 6 Août B18
Sart-Tilman Liège 4000
Belgium
EJ.Javaux@ulg.ac.be
Field: Traces of Life

Lisa Kaltenegger

Harvard University
MS-20 60 Garden Street
Cambridge, MA 02138
USA
lkaltenegger@cfa.harvard.edu
Field: Planetary Atmospheres

Kensei Kobayashi

Grad. School of Engineering
Yokohama National University
79-5 Tokiwadai
Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama 240-8501
Japan
kkensei@ynu.ac.jp
Field: Chemistry

David W. Latham

Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics
60 Garden Street
Cambridge, MA 02138
USA
dlatham@cfa.harvard.edu
Field: Extra Solar Planets

Juli Pereto

Institut Cavanilles de Biodiversitat i Biologia Evolutiva
and Departament de Bioquímica i Biologia Molecular
University of Valencia
Evolutionary genetics group
APDO. 22085
46071 València
Spain
pereto@uv.es
Field: Biochemistry

Nikos Prantzos

Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris
98bis Bd Arago
75014 Paris
France
prantzos@iap.fr
Field: Nucleosynthesis

Sean Raymond

Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Bordeaux
CNRS and Université Bordeaux1
2 rue de l'Observatoire
33270 Floirac
France
raymond@obs.u-bordeaux1.fr
Field: Planetary Formation and Dynamics

Daniel Rouan

LESIA
Observatoire de Paris, CNRS, UPMC, Université
Paris-Diderot
5 place Jules Janssen
92195 Meudon
France
daniel.rouan@obspm.fr
Field: General Astrophysics

Tilman Spohn


DLR - German Aerospace Center
Institute of Planetary Research
Rutherfordstraße 2
12489 Berlin
Germany
tilman.spohn@dlr.de
Field: Inner Solar System and Asteroids

Steven Stahler

Department of Astronomy
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
USA
stahler@astro.berkeley.edu
Field: Stars Formation

Stephane Tirard

Centre François Viète d'Histoire des Sciences et des
Techniques EA 1161
Faculté des Sciences et des Techniques de Nantes
2 rue de la Houssinière, BP 92 208
44322 Nantes CEDEX 3
France
Stephane.Tirard@univ-nantes.fr
Field: History of Sciences



List of Contributors

JOSÉ PASCUAL ABAD
Facultad de Ciencias
Departamento de Biología Molecular
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid
Cantoblanco, Madrid
Spain
jpabad@cbm.uam.es

ANGELES AGUILERA
Laboratorio de Extremófilos
Centro de Astrobiología (INTA-CSIC)
Torrejón de Ardoz, Madrid
Spain
aguileraba@cab.inta-csic.es

FRANCIS ALBARÈDE
Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon
Lyon Cedex 7
France
albarede@ens-lyon.fr

CONEL MICHAEL O'DONEL ALEXANDER
Department of Terrestrial Magnetism
Carnegie Institution of Washington
NW Washington, DC
USA
alexande@dtm.ciw.edu

ABIGAIL ALLWOOD
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
Pasadena, CA
USA
abigail.c.allwood@jpl.nasa.gov

CONCEPCIÓN ALONSO
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid
Madrid
Spain
Concepcion.alonso@uam.es

WLADYSLAW ALTERMANN
Department of Geology
University of Pretoria
Pretoria
South Africa
Wlady.altermann@up.ac.za

LINDA AMARAL-ZETTLER
Marine Biological Laboratory
Josephine Bay Paul Center for Comparative Molecular
Biology and Evolution
Woods Hole, MA
USA
amaral@mbl.edu

RICARDO AMILS
Departamento de Planetología y Habitabilidad
Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA)
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid Campus
Cantoblanco
Torrejón de Ardoz, Madrid
Spain
ramils@cbm.uam.es

ARIEL D. ANBAR
School of Earth & Space Exploration and Department
of Chemistry & Biochemistry
Arizona State University
Tempe, AZ
USA
anbar@asu.edu

LUC ANDRÉ
Department of Earth Sciences
Royal Museum of Central Africa
Tervuren
Belgium
lucandre@africamuseum.be

PHILIPPE ANDRÉ
Laboratoire AIM, IRFU/Service d'Astrophysique
CEA Saclay
Gif-sur-Yvette
France
pandre@cea.fr

RALF H. ANKEN

German Aerospace Center (DLR)
Institute of Aerospace Medicine
Cologne
Germany
ralf.anken@dlr.de

JOSEFA ANTÓN

Departamento de Fisiología, Genética y Microbiología
Universidad de Alicante
Alicante
Spain
anton@ua.es

NICHOLAS ARNDT

Maison des Géosciences LGCA
Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble
St-Martin d'Hères
France
arndt@ujf-grenoble.fr

ANDREW AUBREY

NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory
Pasadena, CA
USA
andrew.d.aubrey@jpl.nasa.gov

JEFFREY BADA

Scripps Institution of Oceanography
La Jolla, CA
USA
jbada@ucsd.edu

JUAN P. G. BALLESTA

Genome Dynamics and Function
Centro de Biología Molecular Severo Ochoa
Cantoblanco, Madrid
Spain
jpgballesta@cbm.uam.es

NADIA BALUCANI

Dipartimento di Chimica
Università degli Studi di Perugia
Perugia
Italy
nadia.balucani@unipg.it

RORY BARNES

Astronomy Department
University of Washington
Seattle, WA
USA
rory@astro.washington.edu

MARIA ANTONIETTA BARUCCI

LESIA
Observatoire de Paris
Meudon Principal Cedex
France
Antonella.barucci@obspm.fr

GIBOR BASRI

Astronomy Department, MC 3411
University of California
Berkeley, CA
USA
basri@berkeley.edu
gbasri@astro.berkeley.edu

UGO BASTOLLA

Unidad de Bioinformática
Centro de Biología Molecular "Severo Ochoa,"
CSIC-UAM
Cantoblanco, Madrid
Spain
ubastolla@cbm.uam.es

CHRISTA BAUMSTARK-KHAN

German Aerospace Center (DLR)
Institute of Aerospace Medicine
Cologne
Germany
christa.baumstark-khan@dlr.de

ANDREY BEKKER

Department of Geological Sciences
University of Manitoba
Winnipeg, MB
Canada
bekker@cc.umanitoba.ca

G. FRITZ BENEDICT

McDonald Observatory
The University of Texas
Austin, TX
USA
fritz@astro.as.utexas.edu

STEFAN BENGTON

Department of Palaeozoology
The Swedish Museum of Natural History
Stockholm
Sweden
stefan.bengtson@nrm.se

KARIM BENZERARA

Institut de Minéralogie et de Physique des Milieux
Condensés, UMR 7590
CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie & Institut de
Physique du Globe de Paris
Paris
France
Karim.benzerara@impmc.jussieu.fr

JOSE BERENGUER

Centro de Biología Molecular Severo Ochoa, UAM-CSIC
Madrid
Spain
jberenguer@cbm.uam.es
jose.berenguer@uam.es

SYLVAIN BERNARD

Section 4.3, Organic Geochemistry
GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences
Potsdam
Germany
Sylvain.Bernard@gfz-potsdam.de

HUGUES BERSINI

IRIDIA
Université Libre de Bruxelles
Brussels
Belgium
bersini@ulb.ac.be

BRUNO BÉZARD

LESIA
Observatoire de Paris
Meudon
France
Bruno.Bezard@obspm.fr

JEAN-PIERRE BIBRING

Institut d'Astrophysique Spatiale
Université Paris-Sud
Orsay Cedex
France
jean-pierre.bibring@ias.u-psud.fr

JOHN H. BLACK

Chalmers University of Technology
Onsala Space Observatory
Onsala
Sweden
John.Black@chalmers.se

LAURENT BOITEAU

Institut des Biomolécules Max Mousseron – UMR5247
CNRS
University Montpellier-2
Montpellier Cedex
France
laurent.boiteau@univ-montp2.fr

JESSICA C. BOWMAN

School of Chemistry and Biochemistry
Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, GA
USA
jessica.bowman@chemistry.gatech.edu

SAMUEL A. BOWRING

Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and
Planetary Sciences
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA
USA
sbowring@mit.edu

ANDRÉ BRACK

Directeur de Recherche
Centre de Biophysique Moléculaire CNRS
Orléans cedex 2
France
brack@cnrs-orleans.fr

DORIS BREUER

German Aerospace Center (DLR)
Institute of Planetary Research
Berlin
Germany
doris.breuer@dlr.de

CARLOS BRIONES

Laboratory of Molecular Evolution
Centro de Astrobiología (INTACSIC)
Instituto Nacional de Técnica Aeroespacial
Torrejón de Ardoz, Madrid
Spain
brioneslc@inta.es

GILLES BRUYLANTS

Laboratory of Molecular and Biomolecular Engineering
Université Libre de Bruxelles C.P. 165/64
Brussels
Belgium
gbruylan@ulb.ac.be

SERGEY BULAT

Russian Academy of Sciences
Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute
Gatchina
Russia
sergey.bulat@ujf-grenoble.fr
bulat@omrb.pnpi.spb.ru

MICHEL CABANE

LATMOS/IPSL B102/T45-46
Université Pierre et Marie Curie UPMC-Paris 6
Paris Cedex 05
France
michel.cabane@latmos.ipsl.fr

JEAN CADET

Institut Nanosciences et Cryogénie
CEA/Grenoble
Grenoble cedex 9
France
jean.cadet@cea.fr

MICHAEL P. CALLAHAN

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
Astrochemistry Laboratory, Code 691
Greenbelt, MD
USA
Michael.P.Callahan@nasa.gov

JAN CAMI

Department of Physics and Astronomy
The University of Western Ontario
London, ON
Canada
and
SETI Institute
Mountain View, CA
USA
jcami@uwo.ca

IAN CAMPBELL

Research School of Earth Sciences
The Australian National University
Canberra, ACT
Australia
Ian.Campbell@anu.edu.au

DONALD E. CANFIELD

Institute of Biology
University of Southern Denmark
Odense
Denmark
dec@biology.sdu.dk

MARÍA LUZ CÁRDENAS

Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique
Unité de Bioénergétique et Ingénierie des Protéines
Marseille Cedex 20
France
cardenas@ifr88.cnrs-mrs.fr

DAMIEN CARDINAL

Department of Earth Sciences
Royal Museum of Central Africa
Tervuren
Belgium
and
LOCEAN
Université Pierre & Marie Curie
Paris cedex 5
France
damien.cardinal@africamuseum.be

LETICIA CARIGI

Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional
Autónoma de México
México, D.F.
Mexico
carigi@astroscu.unam.mx

PIERGIORGIO CASAVECCHIA

Dipartimento di Chimica
Università degli Studi di Perugia
Perugia
Italy
piero@dyn.unipg.it

CLAUDE CATALA
LESIA
Observatoire de Paris
Meudon Cedex
France
Claude.Catala@obspm.fr

FRANCO CATALDO
Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica – Osservatorio
Astrofisico di Catania
Catania
Italy
and
Actinium Chemical Research
Rome
Italy
franco.cataldo@fastwebnet.it

DAVID C. CATLING
Department of Earth and Space Sciences
University of Washington
Seattle, WA
USA
dcatling@u.washington.edu

CECILIA CECCARELLI
Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Grenoble (LAOG/IPAG)
Université J.Fourier de Grenoble, CNRS
Grenoble cedex 9
France
Cecilia.Ceccarelli@obs.ujf-grenoble.fr

JOSE CERNICHARO
Observatorio Astronomico Nacional
Centro Astronomico de Yebes
Guadalajara
Spain
cerni@damir.iem.csic.es

JOHN H. CHALMERS
Scripps Institute of Oceanography Geosciences
Research Division
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA
USA
jhchalmers@ucsd.edu

STEVEN B. CHARNLEY
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
Solar System Exploration Division, Code 691
Astrochemistry Laboratory
Greenbelt, MD
USA
steven.b.charnley@nasa.gov

MARC CHAUSSIDON
CRPG-Nancy Université-CNRS
Vandoeuvre les Nancy
France
chocho@crpg.cnrs-nancy.fr

GLENN E. CIOLEK
New York Center for Astrobiology, Rensselaer
Polytechnic Institute
Troy, NY
USA
cioleg@rpi.edu

PHILIPPE CLAEYS
Earth System Science
Vrije Universiteit Brussel
Brussel
Belgium
phclaeys@vub.ac.be

HENDERSON JAMES (JIM) CLEAVES II
Geophysical Laboratory
Carnegie Institution of Washington
Washington, DC
USA
hjclevaes@ciw.edu

ALAIN COC
Centre de Spectrométrie Nucléaire et de Spectrométrie
de Masse (CSNSM) CNRS/IN2P3
Université Paris Sud 11, UMR 8609
Orsay
France
Alain.Coc@csnsm.in2p3.fr

CHARLES S. COCKELL
Geomicrobiology Research Group, PSSRI
Open University
Milton Keynes
UK
c.s.cockell@open.ac.uk

- [download online The Disowned Self pdf](#)
- [click Captured! The Betty and Barney Hill UFO Experience: The True Story of the World's First Documented Alien Abduction](#)
- [Using SQLite pdf, azw \(kindle\)](#)
- [The Limits of International Law for free](#)

- <http://weddingcellist.com/lib/The-Disowned-Self.pdf>
- <http://monkeybubblemedia.com/lib/Captured--The-Betty-and-Barney-Hill-UFO-Experience--The-True-Story-of-the-World-s-First-Documented-Alien-Abduction.>
- <http://dadhoc.com/lib/Using-SQLite.pdf>
- <http://www.celebritychat.in/?ebooks/Chaussures.pdf>