

In the Blink of an Eye

How Vision Sparked
the Big Bang of Evolution

ANDREW PARKER



A Member of the Perseus Books Group
New York

In the Blink of an Eye

How Vision Sparked
the Big Bang of Evolution

ANDREW PARKER



A Member of the Perseus Books Group
New York

Table of Contents

[Praise](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Dedication](#)

[Table of Figures](#)

[Epigraph](#)

[Preface](#)

[Chapter 1 - Evolution's Big Bang](#)

[Life as we know it](#)

[Understanding the variety of life](#)

[The Cambrian explosion in brief](#)

['The History of Life' from the very beginning](#)

[The Burgess quarries today](#)

[A century of research](#)

[Palaeontological gold](#)

[The \\$64 million question](#)

[The answers proposed](#)

[Preview](#)

[Chapter 2 - The Virtual Life of Fossils](#)

[The youngest fossils](#)

[Old bones, new science](#)

[The active Earth](#)

[Reconstructing ancient environments](#)

[Palaeontology - the first forensic science](#)

[Trace fossils](#)

[Adding further flesh to the bones](#)

[Palaeontology meets modern engineering](#)

[Taking our tools to the Cambrian](#)

[Chapter 3 - The Infusion of Light](#)

[Before the Victorians](#)

[Another Victorian curiosity](#)

[Pigments](#)

[Evolutionary interlude](#)

[The purpose of pigments](#)

[The officer's hat, or the relevance of size and shape](#)

[Structural colours](#)

[Chapter 4 - When Darkness Descends](#)

[Night-time on land](#)

[The deep sea](#)

[Caves](#)

[Chapter 5 - Light, Time and Evolution](#)

[Living fossils](#)

[Diffraction gratings - a subject of physics](#)

[A sudden flash of green light](#)

[Bioluminescent seed-shrimps](#)

[The global view - evolution of all notched seed-shrimps](#)

[Natural diffraction gratings](#)

[Australia's upside-down flies](#)

[From sound to light](#)

[The list continues](#)

[Chapter 6 - Colour in the Cambrian?](#)

[Ammonites - multilayer reflectors and modifications](#)

[The Messel beetles - original multilayer reflectors](#)

[Fossils of the Burgess Shale - diffraction gratings](#)

[Chapter 7 - The Making of a Sense](#)

[Not to see](#)

[To see](#)

[Ancestral eyes](#)

[Chapter 8 - The Killer Instinct](#)

[Another thing about eyes](#)

[Swords, shields and scars](#)

[In the original line of fire](#)

[Chapter 9 - The Solution](#)

[Should we consider predation too?](#)

[Armaments are ornaments](#)

[The 'Light Switch' theory](#)

[Life as we know it](#)

[Why vision and not other senses?](#)

[Near-final thoughts](#)

[Chapter 10 - End of Story?](#)

[A final word](#)

[Index](#)

[Copyright Page](#)

Table of Figures

[Figure 1.1](#) The division of life into categories of different levels, using the woodlouse *Porcellio scaber* as an example. There are thirty-eight phyla of multicelled animals.

[Figure 1.2](#) The geological timescale and epochs.

[Figure 1.3](#) An amoeba - a cell with a nucleus and organelles.

[Figure 1.4](#) Sections through representative bodies of different phyla showing simplified examples of internal body plans.

[Figure 1.5](#) The Ediacaran animals *Tribrachidium*, *Mawsonites* and *Parvancorina*.

[Figure 1.6](#) The Ediacaran animal *Dickinsonia costata*.

[Figure 1.7](#) *Marrella* from the Burgess Shale - fossil and three-dimensional reconstruction.

[Figure 1.8](#) Two versions of the history of the animal phyla. From the first soft-bodied form, evolutionary branching is equivalent in both models. (A) indicates that both internal body plans and external parts diversified throughout this branching, and most theories on the cause of the Cambrian explosion have been based on this model. (B) is the correct model and properly identifies the Cambrian explosion - that it was the simultaneous evolution of external forms in all phyla.

[Figure 2.1](#) Butterworth's 1920s illustration of *Diplodocus* walking, crocodile-style.

[Figure 2.2](#) Diagrammatic cross-section of a living nautilus (eye not shown) and photograph of a fossil ammonite (part of tube preserved near centre of shell).

[Figure 2.3](#) The footprints discovered in Greenland, made in both firm ground and sloppy mud.

[Figure 2.4](#) Palaeo-map of the world, at the time of the Burgess dynasty, showing the original location of the Burgess reef.

[Figure 3.1](#) Newton's own drawing of his experimentum crucis. Unfortunately he lacked the artistic genius of Leonardo.

[Figure 3.2](#) Light rays affected by a thin film, such as a fly's wing, in air. The film is shown in cross-section; the light-ray paths and wave profiles are illustrated as solid lines (incoming light) and dashed lines (reflected light).

[Figure 3.3](#) A cross-section through a liquid crystal (left), showing individual helical molecules, and an approximation as a stack of thin layers and effect on light (right). Reflected light rays are in phase when the layers are approximately a quarter of their wavelength in thickness.

[Figure 4.1](#) A twelfth-century fishing trap recovered from the River Thames.

[Figure 4.2](#) A typical scavenging isopod, amphipod and ostracod (seed-shrimp).

[Figure 4.3](#) Simplified schematic section through two of Earth's plates, showing the submarine landscape between, including their line of separation.

[Figure 5.1](#) A notched lightweight seed-shrimp with one half of its shell removed to reveal its body and limbs inside (from Cannon, 1933, *Discovery Reports*). The arrow points to the halophores of the left first antenna.

[Figure 5.2](#) A diffraction grating splitting white light into a spectrum.

[Figure 5.3](#) Scanning electron micrograph of a diffraction grating of the 'baked bean' (*Azygocypridin lowryi*). Spacing between grooves is 0.6 microns. (Plate 15 in the colour section shows the iridescent effect of this structure.)

[Figure 5.4](#) Frame from a video recording of a pair of the notched seed-shrimp *Skogsbergia* species mating. The iridescent flash of the male is arrowed.

[Figure 5.5](#) Electron micrograph of a hair from *Lobochesis longiseta*, a bristle worm. The ridges are spaced about one micron apart, forming a diffraction grating that causes a spectral effect.

[Figure 6.1](#) Micrographs of the Burgess bristle worm *Canadia* at increasing magnification - from x10 to x1,500. The top picture shows the front half of the animal, the middle pictures show details of bristles. The bottom picture shows the surface of a bristle as removed from the rock matrix, revealing the remnants of a diffraction grating with a ridge spacing of 0.9 microns.

[Figure 7.1](#) Marginal sense organs of the jellyfish *Paraphyllina intermedia* and *Aurelia aurita*, showing different levels of complexity (particularly in their light detectors).

[Figure 7.2](#) The three types of simple eye - pinhole, mirror and camera-type - and their effect on light rays. Light receptors (retinas) are shaded. The mirror eye has an underlying mirror (dashed region) and the camera-type eye has a lens, both of which focus light to form clear images.

[Figure 7.3](#) Focusing of light rays (solid lines) by a graded lens (only three grades of material are shown). The dashed lines represent the paths induced by a standard lens of uniform material - the steeper angles of contact cause light to be bent more at the periphery. The core material of the graded lens, however, causes light to bend more than the material of the periphery layer, and so counteracts this angular discrepancy.

[Figure 7.4](#) Scanning electron micrograph of the head of a fly, showing compound eyes.

[Figure 7.5](#) Focusing mechanisms in the compound eyes of a) bees (apposition-type eye); b) moths and c) lobsters (superposition-type eyes). Graded material in b) and mirrors in c) (shown from the side and from above) achieve focusing. (Modified from Land, 1981.)

[Figure 7.6](#) *Anomalocaris* and *Waptia* from the Burgess Shale. At around 7.5cm, *Waptia* is several times smaller than *Anomalocaris*.

[Figure 7.7](#) Micrographs of the heads of a living 'mysid' crustacean and *Waptia* from the Burgess Shale. Eyes show comparable internal architectures. Scale bars represent 2mm (top picture) and 0.5mm (bottom picture).

[Figure 7.8](#) *Yohoia*, *Perspicara*, *Nectocaris* and *Sarotrocercus* - examples of Burgess animals with eyes.

[Figure 7.9](#) The tiny Cambrian arthropod *Cambropachycope*, with a single compound eye.

[Figure 7.10](#) The Cambrian arthropods *Canadaspis laevigata* and *Fortiforceps foliosa* from Chengjiang, China.

[Figure 7.11](#) The evolutionary tree of animals at the level of phyla (all those with representatives alive today are included; note that *Choanoflagellata* is not a true multicelled group). Asterisks mark the phyla with eyes (which are also numbered 1 to 6 as they appear in the text). Modified from a paper by Rouse and Fauchald.

[Figure 7.12](#) *Haikouella lanceolata* from Chengjiang - the earliest known chordate.

[Figure 7.13](#) Photographs of holochroal (above) and schizochroal (below) trilobite eyes.

[Figure 7.14](#) The intralensar bowl design in the lenses of some trilobites; light rays striking all parts of the lens are focused in the same plane. An identically shaped lens without the intralensar bowl is shown for comparison.

[Figure 7.15](#) Time ranges of genera within the seven families of trilobite, showing the occurrence of different kinds of eye (after Euan Clarkson, 1973). Note that the very first trilobites, living at the base of the Cambrian, bore (holochroal) eyes.

[Figure 7.16](#) Nilsson and Pelger's predicted evolution of a camera-type eye, like that of a fish. The

sequence begins with a flat patch of light-sensitive cells sandwiched between a transparent protective layer and a layer of dark pigment. A graded-index lens appears at stage 6. Reproduced from a 1994 paper by Nilsson and Pelger with permission from the authors.

Figure 8.1 One of the original stereograms of 1838. Blur the picture to produce a fused image in the centre. The inner ring will appear nearer than the outer ring.

Figure 8.2 The early Cambrian trilobite *Fallotaspis typica* showing eyes (shaded) positioned at the side of the head, although its sight is directed slightly forward.

Figure 8.3 *Odaraia* and *Sidneyia* from the Burgess Shale.

Figure 8.4 *Naraoia*, a Naraoiid from the Burgess Shale.

Figure 8.5 Photograph of a trilobite when rolled up - 'head' spines can be seen projecting from the body. When the trilobite is flat, as we usually view trilobites, these spines lie flush with the body.

Figure 8.6 *Pirania*, *Micromitra* and *Haplophrentis* from the Burgess Shale.

Figure 8.7 A soft-bodied 'trilobite' from the Precambrian (about 565 million years old). Shaded regions in the head could be the precursor to compound eyes.

Figure 9.1 (overleaf) This is how all Precambrian animals would have pictured their neighbours using light as a stimulus.

Figure 9.2 Soft-bodied multicelled animals living at the end of the Precambrian. This is how the most sophisticated light receptors of the time - eyes - would have pictured the Very Late Precambrian or Early Cambrian world, around 543 million years ago.

Figure 9.3 Graph showing the very approximate evolution of receptors for different stimuli throughout geological time. Vision is the only sense that can divide geological time into two distinct phases.

Figure 10.1 Face-on view of our galaxy. Counterclockwise from the Sun (cross at top) are the Sagittarius-Carina arm, Scutum-Crux arm, Norma arm and Perseus arm. Triangles mark the times of the major post-Cambrian extinctions (modified from a paper by Erik Leitch and Gautam Vasisht). Some researchers believe the movement of our solar system into the spiral arms had an effect on these extinctions (such as a consequential encounter with giant meteors). The effect of unwinding is indicated by the dot-dashed lines defining the centroids of the arms for an unwinding of 1°, 4° and 8° for the first three arms, respectively.

Figure 10.2 From left to right: a butterfly wing photographed in black and white through a crystal lens under white plus ultraviolet light; through a crystal lens under ultraviolet light only; and through a glass lens under ultraviolet light only. To the human eye each wing appears black with two blue stripes. These images reveal that the lower stripe also reflects ultraviolet light, which transmits through the crystal lens but is absorbed by the glass lens.

Praise for *In the Blink of an Eye*

“A well-written book, containing much really interesting science and a good strong hypothesis that will surely stimulate others to praise, to criticize and try to refine or replace.”

-*Washington Post Book World*

“Parker’s research has that pop-science ‘wow’ factor—dramatic transformations over aeons of time, alien-like life forms, fragments of the secret of our own emergence.”

-*Village Voice*

“Compelling.”

-*Science News*

“[Parker is a] genius, a cool-headed logician with the soul of an artist. . . . [He] has managed to crack a mystery that evolutionists have fretted over since Darwin first sharpened his quill . . . *In the Blink of an Eye* might very well make him a celebrity.”

-*Seed*

“Parker’s ideas are fascinating.”

-*Boston Globe*

“Parker will have more than a few palaeontologists choking on their cornflakes.”

-*New Scientist*

“The outlines of [Parker’s] argument are laid out with compelling logic and clarity, and his solution to the Cambrian mystery seems both brilliant and obvious: we must have been blind to miss it.”

-*London Sunday Telegraph*

“*In the Blink of an Eye* presents its arguments the way a prosecutor presents a criminal case against the accused in a courtroom melodrama. . . . I don’t think you can find a more reader-friendly introduction to evolutionary biology.”

-*San Jose Mercury News*

“Full of fascinating scientific lore . . . The flash of unexpected insight that characterizes [Parker’s] discovery is of the rarest kind, and with a book like *In the Blink of an Eye*, readers have a chance to share in one of those ‘aha!’ moments that happen so infrequently in the world of science.”

-*Readerville Journal*

“[Parker’s] clarity will thrill science fans, as will his revolutionary theory.”

-*Booklist*

“Parker’s conclusion is both convincing and surprisingly fresh . . . Compelling . . . Cutting-edge science, highly recommended.”

-Kirkus (starred review)

“An informative work of easily accessible science.”

-Boston Herald

“A young, brash zoologist . . . Parker makes a compelling case.”

-San Diego Union Tribune

“An insightful glimpse into the mind of the scientist. . . [A] thought-provoking work.”

-Library Journal

“A brilliant and eminently readable evolutionary detective tale . . . Parker’s energy and intelligence are undeniable . . . [He] has led us down a remarkable trail and one hopes he has many others to explore.”

-Roanoke Times

“[Parker’s] central argument certainly deserves careful attention . . . fascinating examples.”

-American Scientist

In the Blink of an Eye

How Vision Sparked
the Big Bang of Evolution

ANDREW PARKER



A Member of the Perseus Books Group
New York

To my parents

When you have eliminated the impossible, whatever remains, however improbable, must be the truth

SIR ARTHUR CONAN DOYLE, A Study in Scarlet (1887)

Preface

The case [for the abrupt appearance of Cambrian fossils] at present must remain inexplicable . . . and may be truly urged as a valid argument against the views [on evolution] here entertained

CHARLES DARWIN, *On the Origin of Species* (sixth and final edition, 1872)

The Big Bang in animal evolution was perhaps the most dramatic event in the history of life on Earth. During this blink of an eye in such history, all the major animal groups found today evolved hard parts and became distinct shapes, simultaneously and for the first time. This happened precisely 543 million years ago, at the beginning of a period in geological history called the Cambrian, and so has become known as the ‘Cambrian explosion’. But what lit the Cambrian fuse?

Until now, we have been without an acceptable explanation for this extraordinary burst in evolution - there is strong evidence against all the contending theories put forward. If time is given to consider most previous explanations, it becomes clear that in fact they explain a different evolutionary event and not the Cambrian explosion, as will be introduced early on in this book. That these two events were once amalgamated had been extremely misleading. In short, we know very well *what* happened during evolution’s Big Bang, indeed numerous books have already been written on this question, but we don’t know *why* it happened. *Why* it happened is the puzzle this book sets out to solve.

The mention of a ‘puzzle’ and a ‘search for clues’ is very appropriate to the story behind the discovery of the *why*, and this book grew naturally into a detective story. After all, this topic will emerge as real scientific crime. I have spent many years stumbling into different fields of science, and it was while travelling along this uneven road that I ended up at the doorstep of the Cambrian. Almost by themselves, the clues towards a Cambrian theory just kept on accumulating, and eventually, after there were still no signs of evidence to the contrary, I became satisfied that the ‘truth had remained’.

To uncover the real cause of the Cambrian explosion *all* the pieces of the puzzle are needed. After introducing the problem in Chapter 1, the following seven chapters of this book will be dedicated to the more significant pieces. In the course of these chapters a multidimensional picture will be painted showing how life works today, what happened during the course of evolution on Earth and consequently, how life worked at different times in the geological past. Having been warned that the more technical terms I adopt the smaller my audience will be, I have responded by keeping scientific names and terminology to a minimum. I have tried to use, or even invent, common names of animals wherever possible, and must apologise if this method appears too simplistic or distracting. Nonetheless, the most important, recurring scientific terms have necessarily survived the editorial process.

By the beginning of the penultimate chapter, all the clues needed to solve the *why* of the Cambrian explosion will have been presented. Scientific evidence will be extracted not just from biology, but also geology, physics, chemistry, history and art. Subjects such as eyes, colour, fossils, predator-prey relationships, Egyptian statues, the deep sea and coral reefs will be entertained. What was the significance

Maxwell's breakfast or of Newton's peacock to our understanding of evolution? Might they be on par with Charles Doolittle Walcott's monumental discovery of the Cambrian 'Burgess Shale' fossils themselves? I feel that the Cambrian explosion is something worthy of anyone's time, and that the explanation of this event is worthwhile publicising. I hope readers will agree.

My road to the Cambrian was possible only because of some wonderful opportunities presented me, for which I am extremely grateful. In the first place there were Penny Berents and Pat Hutchings who offered me my first position at the Australian Museum in Sydney. Here I was lucky enough to spend several years examining living and preserved specimens from every major animal group on Earth - an experience which contrasted greatly with my days studying animal diversity from a textbook as an undergraduate. Then there were Jim Lowry and Noel Tait, at the Australian Museum and Macquarie University (Sydney) respectively, who registered my research for a Ph.D. degree, and taught me so much about animal diversity, ecology and evolution. But I also received considerable help and encouragement from many more members of the Australian Museum than I have space to list here. I am grateful to them all.

By now I had chosen to study seed-shrimps as my specialist subject, and received expert tuition from Lou Kornicker at the Smithsonian Institution (Washington, DC) and Anne Cohen (Los Angeles County Museum of Natural History). Their kindness and patience were important to my early career. But, as will be revealed in this book, seed-shrimps led me into a very unexpected and different subject - classical optics.

Michael Land (Sussex University), Sir Eric Denton (Marine Biological Association of the UK Plymouth) and Peter Herring (Southampton Oceanography Centre) in England had produced some inspiring work on optics and colour in animals. It was great to join in their subject, and I thank them for all the help they gave me, and for tolerating my strange enquiries. After training in the subject of animal structural colours I was ready to bother the optical physicists, particularly Ross McPhedran and David McKenzie (following a significant introduction by their colleague, Maryanne Large) at Sydney University (although many others gave considerable time to my cause). Thanks to the physicists I quickly became familiar with an otherwise unfamiliar subject from its beginnings. And I have found the application of optics to nature quite fascinating.

Looking forwards, sideways, or who knows which direction, I caught a glimpse of the Cambrian. I was steered around the subject of Cambrian biology by numerous palaeontologists. In particular I am grateful to Greg Edgecombe (Australian Museum), Simon Conway Morris (Cambridge University) and the late Stephen Jay Gould (Harvard University) for thought-provoking discussions and comments on my work, and Des Collins (Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto, Canada) for the trip of a lifetime to the famed 'Burgess Shale' quarry in the Canadian Rockies.

Many of the above people supported my move to Oxford University, and I thank Marian Dawkins and Paul Harvey for making that possible. And then there is the small matter of funding, without which my research would never have begun. This commenced with research grants from the Australian Museum, Macquarie University and the Smithsonian Institution. Then came more substantial funding (for three-year projects) from the Australian Biological Research Study to examine seed-shrimp diversity, and from the Australian Research Council to investigate structural colours in animals. Today I am fortunate to hold a Royal Society University Research Fellowship which frees maximum time for research. That has been a huge help, but has been gratefully topped up with grants from the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council and the Natural

Environment Research Council in the UK. Also I am thankful to Somerville College, Oxford, for making me a Research Fellow as supported by the Ernest Cook Research Fund.

Outside my research career, I have people to thank for their necessary help with this book specifically. Cathy Kennedy, of the Oxford University Press, taught me the trade of writing for an audience beyond that of my academic peers, and must have been horrified by my first attempts - after strict scientific conditioning, the popularisation of science is not easy! Peter Robinson of the Curtis Brown literary agency in London helped to refine my technique. But it was the editors I worked with, particularly Andrew Gordon in the UK (and Amanda Cook in the US), who after struggling through early drafts of half-science-half-popular-science, finally transformed my ideas into something readable. And I thank Jeremy Day of Day & Co., London, and the American scientist Ronald Watts for sparking Chapter 10, which may not have happened without their stimulating discussions and interest in my Cambrian ideas.

Finally I thank my parents, other members of my family and a close friend for their continuous encouragement and support of my research career.

Evolution's Big Bang

The explosive evolution during the Cambrian . . . one of the most enigmatic episodes in the history of life

*DEREK BRIGGS, DOUGLAS ERWIN AND
FREDERICK COLLIER (1994)*

The 'Cambrian explosion' . . . a pivotal moment in the history of life

*STEPHEN JAY GOULD, *Wonderful Life* (1989)*

Why was there a radiation in the Cambrian? Our most sincere answer is that we do not know

JAN BERGSTRÖM (1993)

Life as we know it

I have a clear memory of animal diversity classes as an undergraduate. Each week I would open my vintage textbook at a different chapter to find a meaningless black and white line drawing of a representative from a new animal group, blending naturally into its background of page creases, ink blots and previous students' scribbles. All in all, the illustrations were hardly more exciting than the thick, blotted stamps of the antediluvian typewriter. They bore no relation to living creatures, nor could one separate the extinct from the living.

A few years later I lowered my head under water in anticipatory awe of one of the world's natural wonders. All I saw was a dark brown cloud. I had come too close to a cuttlefish for its liking. But as the ink disappeared I adapted to the blaze of colours that strike the eye from every direction. The vast diversity of life forms quickly became apparent in the shallow waters of Australia's Great Barrier Reef. Following my student experiences, I was wholly unprepared for my second introduction to animal diversity. The antlers, domes, fans, brains and pipes of corals were the first to manifest themselves. Polyps, each only a few millimetres across, are the living parts of corals which stretch out their tentacles to feed at night, appearing like small anemones or even upside-down jellyfish. The hard, supporting limestone structures stretch for over a thousand miles, forming the foundations of this famous reef that is visible even from the moon.

Regardless of their external appearance and lifestyles, corals, anemones and jellyfish actual

belong to the same higher classification of animals, known as a phylum (plural phyla) because they share the same internal body plan. That is, the organisation of their internal parts - the nutrient processing factories and oxygen transport systems - is similar. Back in the Great Barrier Reef, the complete spectrum of colours present among the corals was paralleled by an almost complete anthology of animal phyla. So began a journey into the unknown. The coral skeleton of the reef was decked out with gardens of sponges, which matched the corals in their diversity of shapes and colours. The sponges provided shelter within their water-filled passageways for animals belonging to other phyla. These lodgers include the bristle worms - a common group of animals that make up a phylum with earthworms and leeches. Some display shimmering opalescent or iridescent colours, like the bizarre-looking sea mouse, a worm whose appearance is best described as a hedgehog with the iridescence of a compact disc.

Sea gooseberries look like transparent variants of their fruit name-sakes, flashing with eight iridescent bands. These alien-like blobs of jelly have an internal body plan like no other group of animals and so belong to a phylum of their own - the comb jellies. Starfish are not only obvious during the day but some glow at night with their bioluminescence, emerging from darkness like an extraterrestrial visitor. Starfish are related to common sea urchins and belong to the same phylum of animals. Giant clams display fluorescent blues, greens and purples.

KINGDOM: Animalia
PHYLUM: Arthropoda
SUBPHYLUM: Crustacea
CLASS: Malacostraca
ORDER: Isopoda
SUBORDER: Oniscoidea
FAMILY: Porcellionidae
GENUS: Porcellio
SPECIES: scaber

Figure 1.1 The division of life into categories of different levels, using the woodlouse *Porcellio scaber* as an example. There are thirty-eight phyla of multicelled animals.

They belong to the mollusc phylum along with another animal rather more infamous for its colour than the blue-ringed octopus. During aggressive spells, the blue rings of this small octopus light up to warn of its deadly venom. The less familiar 'moss animals' live in colonies often with unusual shapes and colours, sometimes appearing like the mosses or lichens found on terrestrial rocks. Worms are ubiquitous but hide a plethora of phyla, such as the 'ribbons', 'peanuts', 'arrows', 'acorns' and flatworms. Ribbon worms, as their name suggests, are ribbon-like in appearance and seem quite placid until they make their presence known with their powerful jaws. Peanut worms are less dangerous and have a swollen rear end. Its similarity to a peanut is questionable, but a brownish colour is the norm. The acorn analogy is even less convincing, although arrow worms are more appropriately named. Similarly, the flatworms are flat, and some of those capable of swimming by undulating their bodies possess colours that can shock.

Although very few insects are found in the sea, the crustacean representatives from the arthropod phylum are often at their most spectacular on the Great Barrier Reef, and include the crabs, lobsters and shrimps. Another phylum that is best known for its terrestrial members is the Chordata. This name may sound familiar because it is the group containing amphibians, reptiles, birds and mammals including humans. But the fishes of the reef, along with some lesser known animals such as sea squirts

and lancelets, also belong to this phylum and were once its only members.

Before leaving the water I found, in precisely the same place, the ink culprit, with about thirty of its comrades. The cuttlefish from the mollusc phylum formed an exact arc around me, tentacles to face eye to eye. Their brown bodies instantaneously bleached as I moved towards them and they retreated by precisely the same distance. Then their bodies displayed a wave of colour changes. Brown and white synchronised undulations rapidly flowed along the length of their bodies, then suddenly 'loud' red cut into the sequence, followed by a calming green as I retreated. Meanwhile, the region housing their eyes remained silver, like mirrors.

Understanding the variety of life

The cuttlefish eye shows strong similarities to the human eye. This is an example of the evolutionary biologists' red herring - convergence. From similar basic building materials a comparable organ has evolved independently to achieve the same function, in two different phyla. But we have learnt it is the internal organisation of an animal that defines its phylum, not its external appearance. As we saw with the worms, the worm-like shape is shared by a number of phyla, but these are unrelated because their internal constructions are very different. If a worm has a mouth but no anus it belongs to the flatworm phylum. Acorn worms are blessed not only with an anus but also a brain and, of importance, a pharynx (the front end of the gut). We also possess an anus, brain and pharynx, but not the body shape of a worm. Now we can divide the body of any animal into two parts - the innards and the outer layers (the 'skin' and 'shells').

The job of an evolutionary biologist is to make sense of the conflicting diversity of form - there is not always a relationship between internal and external parts. Early in the history of the subject, it became obvious that internal organisations were generally more important to the higher classification of animals than are external shapes. The internal organisation puts general restrictions on how an animal can exchange gases, obtain nutrients and reproduce. So we are more closely related to acorn worms than to flatworms. Also, acorn worms are more closely related to us than to flatworms. The complexity of an individual's development from embryo to adult mirrors the sophistication of internal organisation of the adult. To construct an animal with a complex but specific internal organisation from a collection of just a few cells, a specific method of development is required. As one can envisage, from a few cells more steps are required to form a human baby with all its internal complexity than a simple jellyfish - an infolded ball of three tissue layers. Now we can examine the reason why internal organisations carry so much weight in animal classification. It is worth taking the time to understand this subject since it forms the backbone of evolution.

The internal organisations, methods of development from embryo to adult and external shapes of animals are governed by their genes, the set of instructions carried by the chromosomes within their cells. Copious genes govern internal organisation and development. In contrast, the external shape of an animal is generally under the control of considerably fewer genes. But what governs the genes themselves? First we need to take another look at convergence - similarities in external shape between animals with different internal organisations.

By external parts of animals I refer to the materials, colours and shapes of the outer layers. The

have a closer association with the environment than do internal organisations. The environment includes physical factors, such as temperature and light conditions, and biological factors, such as the animal neighbours. The external parts of an animal, in particular, must be adapted to its specific environment, and they may do so within broad limits set by the internal body plan. If two animals live in the same type of environment, they may share comparable external parts, regardless of their internal organisations. This is possible because the external parts are controlled by a relatively small number of genes, and the chances of those genes mutating to code for the same structures in different species are not remote. If we roll two dice, the chances of both landing on a six are 36 to 1. Even though many more than two genetic mutations will be involved in the evolution of external body parts, single mutations can be retained and accumulated. Consequently if a lamp shell and a razor shell, which belong to different phyla, live on the same type of sand into which they burrow, but also require protection from the same predators, it is not surprising that they share a similar external shape, possibly an optimal design. But their internal organisations remain very different. Internal organisations are under the control of many more genes, which *all* have to mutate at the same time to initiate a new internal body plan. Unlike external architectures, internal body plans cannot be built up gradually because usually they can't function in intermediate stages. This is a monumental difference between the mechanisms that control internal body plans and external parts. A spine on the outside of an animal can begin as a small bump, then pass through intermediate stages from a large bump to a long, pointed spine. Importantly, all intermediate stages can exist in their own right because they provide some advantage for their host. But for a change in body plan that involves the abrupt appearance of blood space, or a sudden flipping upside-down of everything internal, for example, there can be no intermediate stages. Internal body plans cannot be constructed stepwise, and so are less influenced by the environment. Hence convergence of internal body plans does not occur. If we roll a thousand dice, the chances of them all landing on a six are 1,000,000,000,000,000 to 1, extremely improbable.

Charles Darwin and Alfred Russel Wallace were first to realise that evolution, an ever-branching process, is the mechanism responsible for animal diversity. Because modifications in the physical and biological environments are taking place continuously, species must also change continuously to maintain an optimal design (or as near as possible to it). This is adaptation. So a modification in the environment can be thought of as a pressure on the local animals to change. Hence the term 'selective pressure' was introduced.

A minor selection pressure may result in a slight modification in a local animal. An animal walking on the sea floor may develop slightly broader feet to prevent it from sinking if the sand or mud becomes softer. A weighty selection pressure may result in a considerable modification in a local animal. The introduction of a new food source may lead to the evolution of new mouthparts and limbs for movement. A collection of modifications in a population can lead to a new species, all within a single phylum. The fewer the modifications between species, the closer their evolutionary relationship or branching point on the evolutionary tree. Here I have been talking about external characters only. Animal phyla today have unique internal organisations, and a mixture of unique and shared (convergent) external characters. But did their internal organisations evolve *in tandem* with the characteristic shapes? And *when* did these both evolve? These questions lead us to the major evolutionary problem that this book will attempt to solve. They will be asked again a little later in this chapter when, after an exploration of the history of life on Earth, they will be easier to digest.

The Cambrian explosion in brief

Thirty-eight animal phyla have evolved on Earth. So only thirty-eight monumental genetic events have taken place, resulting in thirty-eight different internal organisations. Members of these phyla possess a variety of appearances - or external forms - as we have explored on the Great Barrier Reef. Think of the protective spines, swimming paddles, burrowing shapes, grasping arms, eyes and colour. We have also seen that sometimes the same forms can occur in members from different phyla (convergence), but in general each phylum contains a characteristic variety of external forms.

The first fossils from the time 543 to 490 million years ago were found in the Cambrian Hills in Wales. Hence this period became known as the 'Cambrian' (as named by the great Cambridge geologist Adam Sedgwick). It follows that the time span prior to 543 million years ago is called the Precambrian (the Precambrian can be further divided). What if I stated that, based on external characters, 544 million years ago there were perhaps three phyla? Most people would picture a scenario where the number of phyla simply increased gradually from three to thirty-eight over the past 544 million years. Along this trail of thought, 320 million years ago there might have been some twenty distinguishable phyla. Such a steady progression involves a type of process known as 'micro-evolution'. Darwin and Wallace thought along these lines.

Geologic Time	
million years ago	ERACENOZOIC ERA
65	MESOCRETACEOUS PERIOD
145	ZOJURASSIC PERIOD
210	ICTRIASSIC
245	PERMIAN
290	PALAEOCARBONIFEROUS
360	DEVONIAN
410	ZOSILURIAN
438	ICORDOVICIAN
490	CAMBRIAN
Precambrian Era 543-4600 mya	

Figure 1.2 The geological timescale and epochs.

Revolutions in evolutionary theory have occurred since Darwin's time. Now we know that the history of life on Earth has been dominated by long periods of gradual evolution - 'micro-evolution' or even a complete standstill. But these periods ended abruptly as they were replaced by 'macro-evolution' - short but prolific bursts in evolutionary activity, hence a so-called 'punctuated equilibrium' model for evolutionary history. Darwin and others of his time cannot be blamed for overlooking macro-evolution because its discovery was a consequence of twentieth-century fossil

finds and the development of modern biochemical techniques, encompassing genetics and the biology of development from embryos to adults. Events that cause macro-evolution include a fast development from embryo to adult form, the development of sexuality in juvenile forms, and the turning on or off of *major* genes.

With all this in mind, I should like to change the facts and state that 544 million years ago there were indeed three animal phyla with their variety of external forms, but at 538 million years ago there were thirty-eight, the same number that exists today.¹ In this case the vast diversity of body architectures observed on the Great Barrier Reef would all have appeared during a five-million-year interval (some researchers say fifteen), beginning 543 million years ago. In fact such an interpretation is closer to the truth, and this particular five-million-year interval hosts the subject matter of this book - the 'Cambrian explosion'. The Cambrian explosion is the evolutionary episode in which all animal phyla attained complex external forms. In other words, it is the event during which animal phyla changed from all looking the same to looking different. Now that I have introduced the Cambrian explosion, can I end the first chapter of this book here? Unfortunately not. Such a simple description of the spectacular transition in evolution from Precambrian to Cambrian times does not provide a full description of how today's diversity of life came into being. We cannot consider only the external appearances of animals but need also to think of their internal body plans. To understand what the Cambrian explosion *really* is, this is essential. Previous explanations of the Cambrian explosion have been greatly simplified by the definition 'the sudden evolution of all animal phyla'. This flippancy approach to the most dramatic event in the history of life is misleading in the extreme, and has led to a number of false explanations for the cause of the event. The crux of the problem here is that internal body plans and external parts have been treated collectively, and their evolution is thought to have occurred simultaneously. This is not true. The Cambrian explosion is all about external body parts only. But we have learnt of the great significance of internal body organisations to animal diversity and should study this subject further if only to provide the outside pieces of the jigsaw puzzle to be solved in this book - *what caused the Cambrian explosion?* The story of internal body plan history takes us deep into the Precambrian.

Up till now we have been measuring time in units of millions if not billions of years. Such quantities are hard for us to make sense of. We think of ancient history as perhaps a couple of thousand years ago. Ten thousand years would be extremely difficult to conceptualise, a hundred thousand, let alone a million, inconceivable. So hundreds of millions of years of evolution are well beyond the realms of the most vivid human imagination. If it is of any help, I began to conceptualise one million years after seeing the immense valleys in Hawaii that have been formed by one million years of running water. These perfectly triangular valleys that terminate at the coast are over 100 metres deep. But a million years ago they did not exist, and the north-west coast of Hawaii had a continuous cliff face with a flat top. As volcanoes formed inland, so did streams or small rivers terminating at the coast. The action of this running water gradually wore a groove into the surface of the ground. And over a million years, water can form a groove 100 metres deep - this is worth thinking about. During such a time period, and without taking space into account, even outcomes with almost negligible odds can emerge. But only when the process in question can change gradually, where each step or small change is saved, and the process can then proceed from a new starting point with the change firmly in place. This line of thought will be continued in this chapter with Sir Andrew Huxley's criticism of a 'jumbo jet in a junkyard'.

- [click The Consummata](#)
- [download Le Chant des poissons rouges for free](#)
- [**Sight Words Nouns 2: A Sight Words Book for Kindergarten, Grade 1 and Grade 2 for free**](#)
- [read The Champion's Mind: How Great Athletes Think, Train, and Thrive](#)
- [read online Moving Materials: Physical Delivery in Libraries](#)
- [read *Fiscal Hangover: How to Profit From The New Global Economy \(Agora Series\)* pdf](#)

- <http://econtact.webschaefer.com/?books/Lessons-in-Bobbin-Lacemaking.pdf>
- <http://cambridgebrass.com/?freebooks/Losing-the-Plot-in-Opera--Myths-and-Secrets-of-the-World-s-Great-Operas.pdf>
- <http://academialanguagebar.com/?ebooks/The-Black-Spider.pdf>
- <http://academialanguagebar.com/?ebooks/The-Champion-s-Mind--How-Great-Athletes-Think--Train--and-Thrive.pdf>
- <http://nexson.arzamaszev.com/library/Moving-Materials--Physical-Delivery-in-Libraries.pdf>
- <http://econtact.webschaefer.com/?books/Fiscal-Hangover--How-to-Profit-From-The-New-Global-Economy--Agora-Series-.pdf>