

THE ATHLETE'S CLOCK



How Biology and Time
Affect Sport Performance

THOMAS W. ROWLAND, MD

FOREWORD BY TIM NOAKES

THE
**ATHLETE'S
CLOCK**



**How Biology
and Time
Affect Sport
Performance**

THOMAS W. ROWLAND, MD
Baystate Medical Center



HUMAN KINETICS

Rowland, Thomas W.

The athlete's clock : how biology and time affect sport performance / Thomas W. Rowland.

p. ; cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-0-7360-8274-7 (print)

ISBN-10: 0-7360-8274-3 (print)

1. Sports--Physiological aspects. 2. Chronobiology. I. Title.

[DNLM: 1. Athletic Performance--physiology. 2. Chronobiology Phenomena--physiology. 3. Sports--physiology. QT 260]

RC1235.R685 2011

612'.044--dc22

2010049774

ISBN-10: 0-7360-8274-3 (print)

ISBN-13: 978-0-7360-8274-7 (print)

Copyright © 2011 by Thomas W. Rowland

All rights reserved. Except for use in a review, the reproduction or utilization of this work in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying, and recording, and in any information storage and retrieval system, is forbidden without the written permission of the publisher.

The web addresses cited in this text were current as of November 2010, unless otherwise noted.

Acquisitions Editor: Myles Schrag; **Developmental Editor:** Kevin Matz; **Assistant Editors:** Steven Calderwood and Antoine Pomata; **Copyeditor:** Joy Wotherspoon; **Indexer:** Betty Frizzell; **Permissions Manager:** Dalene Reeder; **Graphic Designer:** Bob Reuther; **Graphic Artist:** Kathleen Boudreau-Fuoss; **Cover Designer:** Bob Reuther; **Photographer (cover):** © Human Kinetics; **Photo Asset Manager:** Laura Fitch; **Photo Production Manager:** Jason Allen; **Art Manager:** Kelly Hendren; **Associate Art Manager:** Alan L. Wilborn; **Illustrations:** © Human Kinetics; **Printer:** McNaughton and Gunn

Human Kinetics books are available at special discounts for bulk purchase. Special editions or book excerpts can also be created to your specification. For details, contact the Special Sales Manager at Human Kinetics.

Printed in the United States of America 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

The paper in this book is certified under a sustainable forestry program.

Human Kinetics

Website: www.HumanKinetics.com

United States: Human Kinetics

P.O. Box 5076

Champaign, IL 61825-5076

800-747-4457

e-mail: humank@hkusa.com

Canada: Human Kinetics

475 Devonshire Road Unit 100

Windsor, ON N8Y 2L5

800-465-7301 (in Canada only)

e-mail: info@hkcanada.com

Europe: Human Kinetics

107 Bradford Road

Stanningley

Leeds LS28 6AT, United Kingdom

+44 (0) 113 255 5665

e-mail: hk@hkeurope.com

Australia: Human Kinetics

57A Price Avenue

Lower Mitcham, South Australia

5062

08 8372 0999

e-mail: info@hkaustralia.com

New Zealand: Human Kinetics

P.O. Box 80

Torrens Park, South Australia 5062

0800 222 062

e-mail: info@hknewzealand.com

E47

THE
**ATHLETE'S
CLOCK**

CONTENTS

Foreword • Introduction

CHAPTER 1 WHO'S IN CHARGE HERE?: SETTING THE RACE PACE

Optimal Pacing Strategy
Picking the Pace: Distance and Speed
Picking the Pace: Selecting the Pattern of Speed
Pacing in Other Sports
What Research Tells Us
Picking the Pace: Doing as They Do

CHAPTER 2 MARCHING TO THE SAME DRUMMER: CADENCE IN ENDURANCE EVENTS

The Beat Goes On: The Motor Controller
Central Pattern Generator
Human Beings as Aerobic Endurance Animals

CHAPTER 3 DRAGSTERS, TIGER BEETLES, AND USAIN BOLT: TIME AND SPEED

The Human Machine
Determinants of Sprint Performance: Stride Length or Frequency?
Implications of the CPG Beyond the Track

CHAPTER 4 NIGHT AND DAY: CIRCADIAN RHYTHMS AND SPORT PERFORMANCE

Circadian Rhythms
Circadian Rhythms and the Athlete
Physiological and Metabolic Variables
Implications for Sport Performance
Intrinsic Circadian Rhythms of Performance
Implications for Athletes

CHAPTER 5 IT'S ALL IN THE TIMING: KEEPING YOUR EYE ON THE BALL

Musicians Keeping Time
Challenges of Perceptuomotor Timing in Sports
Athletes: Masters of Perceptuomotor Timing

Do Athletes Defy the Rules? Testing the Limits of Perceptual Timing

What Training Studies Say About Improvement

Descartes Meets Tim Noakes: Does Self-Determinism Really Exist?

Can Athletes Slow Down Time?

CHAPTER 6 GETTING BETTER ALL THE TIME: THE DEVELOPMENT OF ATHLETIC SKILL DURING THE CHILDHOOD YEARS—A CONVERSATION WITH BOB MALINA...

A Pertinent Topic

Effect of Birth Date

Limited Insights

Power of Practice

Does Success Breed Success?

Early Identification of Talent

An Alternative Approach

CHAPTER 7 OVER THE HILL: AGING AND SPORT PERFORMANCE

Categories of Aging

Physical Activity and Longevity

Why Do We Age?

Physical Activity and Body Composition During Aging

Difficulties of Studying the Effect of Aging on Performance

Aging Clock, Motor Capacity, and Athletic Performance

Coda • Index • About the Author

FOREWORD

Once upon a time the understanding of exercise physiology was easy. The key to all forms of exercise performance was the heart, whose function determined how far and how fast humans can run, swim, or cycle. Once the heart's limiting capacity is reached, the muscles become oxygen deficient, releasing poisonous lactic acid. The lactic acid interferes with normal muscle function, causing the anguish we recognize as fatigue. According to this explanation, the best athletes are those with the largest hearts, best able to pump the most blood to their active muscles and produce the highest rates of oxygen consumption during exercise.

It is a theory based on work done by the British Nobel Laureates Sir Frederick Gowland Hopkins in 1907 and Professor Archibald Vivian Hill in the 1920s. This idea has been widely promoted and vigorously defended by legions of exercise scientists ever since. Most humans with any interest in exercise science believe this theory to be the only possible truth.

But for the first time in 90 years, the past decade has witnessed the appearance of some cracks in the walls of this fortress of belief. We now know that some things are not easily explained by the traditional Hopkins-Hill model. If the model is the final truth, then there really is no need for athletic competitions. Medals can simply be given to those with the largest hearts and the greatest capacity to consume oxygen. But the problem is that the very best distance runners (the Kenyans and Ethiopians, for example) do not have any greater capacity to consume oxygen than do lesser runners who finish far, far behind. Thus something other than simply a big heart and a large capacity to consume oxygen must explain truly exceptional athletic performance.

Indeed, this theory invites the simplest question: If the heart limits all forms of endurance exercise performance, why do cyclists in the Tour de France or runners in the Olympic marathon race at submaximal levels of heart function? If the heart is indeed the factor limiting their performances, then those athletes' hearts must begin to function at maximal effort the instant the race begins. But they do not. Hence, something else is involved.

Probably the most damning evidence against this traditional theory is the simplest and most obvious—so obvious, in fact, that it has been ignored for the past 90 years: Can the Hopkins-Hill model explain how athletes pace themselves not just during races but also during training?

If the control of exercise performance resides in the exercising muscles under the action of the toxic lactic acid, then why do athletes begin races of different distances at different paces? If lactic acid is the sole determinant of an athlete's pace, then there can be only one exercise pace for each individual regardless of the distance she plans to cover. The pace must be that at which the effect of the poisonous lactic acid is just being felt. Going any faster will cause more lactic acid to be produced, slowing the performance. But slowing down will cause a drop in lactic acid levels in the muscles, removing its inhibitory effect and leading to an immediate (but temporary) increase in performance. Soon, however, the higher intensity will lead to increased lactic acid production in the muscles, reversing the process.

If the model is completely unable to clarify how different paces are possible during exercise, it has even greater difficulty explaining what happens near the end of the exercise as athletes begin to speed

up in anticipation of the finish—the classic end spurt. The end spurt is most obvious at the finish of each stage of the Tour de France but is present in all running races longer than 800 meters. How is it possible for an exhausted athlete to speed up at the end of a race when he is the most fatigued? The common phenomenon indicates that our understanding of fatigue—classically defined in all textbooks of exercise physiology as the inability to sustain the desired muscle force (or running speed)—is utterly incapable of explaining what happens in the real world of competitive sport. If athletes speed up at the end of races when they should be the most tired, they cannot be fatigued, according to the hallowed physiological definition.

And even more confusing is this: As they sprint for the line in the final moments of their end spurt, athletes do not activate all the available muscle fibers in their exercising limbs. They always finish with muscular reserve. Which raises these questions: Why not? What is holding them back? And even more intriguing is this: If an athlete finishes second, milliseconds behind the first athlete, what was holding her back? Why did she not risk death by exercising just a little harder in order to win the race? The conclusion must be that she chose to come in second rather than to go faster even if going faster would not have killed her.

Then the final piece of evidence against this purely peripheral control of exercise performance is that certain substances can have a marked effect on human exercise performance even though they act only on the brain. The most obvious example is amphetamines, a class of drugs that dramatically improve performance by acting on the brain to reduce the uncomfortable sensations of fatigue.

In the face of such compelling evidence, one could expect that supporters of this traditional explanation would acknowledge that their model might not be absolutely correct. Science is supposed to be a courteous activity conducted by decorous men and women according to time-honored principles of fair play and respect for differing opinions, all for a singular goal: the pursuit of a perfect truth. Sadly, the reality is sometimes different. Modern science, and perhaps even more so in exercise science, is a war waged on opposing sides by men and women with varying measures of self-importance. Those most certain of their opinions are usually also the most belligerent.

Those intrepid nonbelievers who question soon attract the scorn of the majority. The result is that it is much easier to stay quiet or to choose conformity. It is into this hostile arena that the genial Dr. Thomas Rowland and his sagacious book have made their entry.

Dr. Rowland, a pediatric cardiologist, is lean and athletic—a lifetime athlete. His small, lined frame identifies him as an ectomorph. According to 1940s Harvard psychologist William Sheldon, the defining characteristic of the ectomorph is cerebrotonia—a greater capacity for deep thinking than for urgent acting. Shakespeare understood that their need to think and to understand more deeply placed the ectomorph on the social edge. Of Cassius who plotted his assassination, Julius Caesar is allowed to observe this:

Yond Cassius has a lean and hungry look,
He thinks too much; such men are dangerous.

Much better to surround oneself with the soft bellied:

Let me have men about me that are fat,
Sleek-headed men and such as sleep a-nights.

In *The Athlete's Clock*, Rowland plots the assassination not of an autocratic emperor. Instead, he invites us to question some of our most hallowed concepts of human athleticism. He wishes to understand how research in sport science helps us better understand how time, aging, our internal biological clocks, and associated controls like the central pattern generator (CPG) influence human

athletic performance.

His overarching questions are these: Is the control of physical effort over time—sporting performance—under the conscious control of the athlete? Or do subconscious controls of which we have little knowledge really determine how well we can perform in sport? Thus he poses this question: Are the forces of destiny—or the finish time in a 10K road race—under our conscious control?

Rowland begins by providing evidence from laboratory studies showing that the pacing strategy during more prolonged endurance exercise may be regulated by subconscious controls that produce the uncomfortable symptoms that we recognize as fatigue. He wonders whether these sensations arise “from the unconscious portions of the brain, which, having sensed physiological information indicating high-exercise stress, depress force of muscle contraction and block the desire to continue all in the name of preserving safety?” He acknowledges that few exercise scientists and even fewer athletes are impressed by any explanation that proposes that human athletes are not in exclusive control of their own sporting performances. In answer, he provides a body of current evidence that allows readers to arrive at a more informed opinion.

The function of those controls is to regulate the frequency and power of the muscle contractions expressed in running as stride frequency and stride length. Runners achieve this by acting on a CPG that sets the stride frequency and stride length and hence the pace. This simple explanation is revolutionary. Defenders of the Hopkins-Hill model speak not in terms of how a CPG might regulate exercise performance but exclusively in terms of how the heart’s nutrient supply to the exercising muscles regulates their function and therefore establishes the runner’s pace.

Not that this CPG is a novel evolutionary development unique to athletic humans. Instead, a similar controller subtends the identical function in the more primitive brains of the most ancient creatures like the lowly cockroach. The magic of this CPG is that it will always choose the most efficient combination of contraction frequency and contraction power regardless of the activity—running, swimming, or cycling—and the conditions of exercise. The CPG does its work without requiring any input from conscious thought, although there may be some limited capacity for conscious choice to influence the actions of the CPG in the short term.

What of sprinting speed? Could the peak rate of firing of the (subconscious) CPG determine how fast humans can sprint over 100 meters? Probably not that simple, since this excludes the role of the sprinter’s muscles in producing the force necessary to propel the body between strides. Weak muscles and a superfast CPG will still produce a slow 100-meter time. But like distance runners, even 100-meter sprinters must pace themselves. According to Caribbean sprinter Steven Headley in an interview with Rowland, “You can’t run all out for more than about 4 or 5 seconds. . . . I really don’t know what causes me to slow at the finish. Sometimes I’m not even aware of it.” Is this another example of the subconscious control of human athletic performance?

Clear evidence for well-defined subconscious controls is provided by the diurnal (24-hour) variations in human athletic performance. Even better examples are the responses of players in fast ball sports like baseball, tennis, and cricket, in which the ball must be struck on the basis of advanced cues occurring in the very earliest portion of its flight and in which conscious actions can play no part. In all these sports, the ball striker must hit the ball at a time when he does not know the ball’s precise position in space (since he does not see it). Nor does he know precisely when the ball will reach the point at which he wishes to strike it. The marvel is that few humans are ever able to make these seemingly improbable actions successfully, let alone most of the time.

Thomas Rowland has written a magical book that is both timely and revolutionary. My wish is that it will open minds to a new possibility: that we know much less about the factors that regulate human

athletic performance than in our simple ignorance we may believe. That is the hidden message of the rebellious, indeed heretical, book. It is a message that exercise scientists need to take seriously if we are to advance our profession as a solemn science that aims to detect, rather than to conceal, truth.

Tim Noakes, OMS, MD, DSc

Discovery Health Professor of Exercise and Sports Science, University of Cape Town and Sports Science Institute of South Africa

INTRODUCTION

We are all time travelers, passengers on an unflagging moving present that carries us even further into the future.

—Michael Lockwood, *The Labyrinth of Time*, 2005

Hey, kids! What time is it?

—Buffalo Bob, *The Howdy Doody Show*, circa 1952

By now it was almost four o'clock, and I was not greatly surprised that he was late.

I was awaiting, with no little anticipation, the visit of an old boyhood friend whom I hadn't seen decades. It was so long ago, in fact, that it struck me that instead of coming from Michigan, it was more like he would be arriving from a different time dimension altogether. John had been a fairly straight-and-narrow fellow in high school, but after graduation, his life had taken a rather bizarre and certainly unexpected turn. Maybe it was his participation in the 1968 Chicago riots that led John to suddenly drop out of society, moving into a barn in the Lower Peninsula and abandoning a promising future in law, family, and all the usual social conventions. And there he had been ever since.

I had heard enough of his story to expect some rather eccentric behavior. He would, for example, insist on sleeping outdoors (so as, in his words, "not to lose the magic"). But what I did not anticipate was that immediately on his arrival, this bearded, altogether cheerful soul would rapidly stride from room to room, covering up all the clocks with a towel or cloth. We were, it seemed, about to spend the weekend unaware of time. (His idea was not original. The 18th-century philosopher Jean-Jacques Rousseau, in a gesture of disdain for the constraints of time, is said to have tossed away his watch, predating Peter Fonda in the opening scene of *Easy Rider* by a couple of centuries. In Rousseau's case, it is tempting to suggest that this act might have been associated with his subsequent bizarre social behavior, progressive paranoia, and ultimate death with insanity.)

My mind recoiled. How would we know when to eat dinner? To go to bed? When would we know when the coffee shop was opening for my morning latte? We wouldn't know how long to cook the lasagna. Or when to meet our friends at the cinema. And—now seemingly of critical importance—when would John know it was time to leave?

For three days, I was off kilter, disoriented, confused. No question about it, this weekend had a lesson. Those of us who have stuck to the narrow path of a conventional life are slaves to time. Without its anchor, our daily lives are set adrift. (Adventuresome readers are invited to try this experiment for themselves. See what it's like to miss your daughter's piano recital, to burn a steak on two.)

Meaning of Time

Time is, quite literally, of the essence. Indeed, it creates the very boundaries of our lives on the planet. From the moment of our birth, the sand begins to flow without ceasing through the hourglass to mark the point of our exit. In between times, we mark time in all that we do—our sleeping, eating, working, vacations. Clearly, no other single factor so defines our existence.

No wonder, then, that the great thinkers—philosophers, mathematicians, poets, theologians, physicists—have struggled throughout history to understand the nature of time. Time is something we all know about. But what is it?

Aristotle was among the first to consider time as a fundamental feature of the universe. From the Greek viewpoint, it proceeds linearly and continuously, without interruption or influence by outside events. It doesn't matter what you're doing—time marches on. It is like a geometric straight line. Heron's likening time to a mathematical construct would reveal its absolute, or physical, nature.

This was a popular idea, since it obviously reflected what people saw before them—the regularity of the tides, seasons, migration of birds, and, most particularly, the progression of the sun, moon, and stars across the sky. This concept of time as an imperturbable progression was further embodied by the invention of the clock, which defined time in respect to astronomical events. This modern measurement of time has, of course, become extraordinarily precise, extending from highly exact astronomical observations to the frequency of vibration of the cesium atom, a clock with an accuracy of 5 parts per 10 million million. That's a margin of error of .0000001 seconds per day.

Others have been inclined to view the passage of time from the standpoint of human experience (*psychological* or *subjective* time). Human beings, among all the animals, are uniquely gifted with the ability to sense time. This is nowhere less apparent than when you are awaiting a flight delayed for three hours (we might call this *O'Hare time*). Subjective time can, of course, move much more rapidly, like when you are enjoying a party with good friends or winning at tennis (label this as *40-love time*). You'd have difficulty convincing yourself that the agonizing creep of time at the airport is identical to that on the tennis court. But viewed from the U.S. Naval observatory in Washington, D.C., the keeper of astronomical time, no objective difference exists between O'Hare and 40-love time.

Time can also be viewed from the perspective of sequence. Gottfried Leibniz (who lived from 1646 to 1716) thought of time that way. (He also invented calculus, the binary system on which today's computers are based, and—to his lasting credit—optimism in life.) According to this idea, termed the *relational theory of time*, events do not take place in time; instead, it's the other way around. Time is defined by the order in which events occur. By this concept, events in life become the cornerstone of existence rather than time itself. This argument runs counter to that of time as a physical, immutable, independent reality.

You could easily fill an entire library with what's been written about the nature of time. (Those who wish a concise, easily readable source can try the 1972 book *What is Time?* by the British author G. Whitrow from Oxford Press.) Among these discourses are many intriguing themes. For instance, Einstein's ideas on relativity spoke against time as an absolute, stating that the passage of time depends on the location and speed of the person looking at the clock. In effect, a clock traveling at extraordinary speeds will appear to slow down when compared to another clock at rest relative to the observer. Such arguments are inconsistent with a physical, independent characterization of time. They state that rather than being absolute and invariable, time is related to speed. Thus, they are more supportive of Leibniz's concept of relative time. (Until your Volvo can approach the speed of light)

however, these can be considered theoretical, rather than pragmatic, arguments.)

And then there is the question of the arrow of time. Can time go backwards? Or is it unidirectional? In light of our daily experiences, this would seem a bit silly. Of course, billiard balls do not come flying out of their corner pockets, your mother-in-law's precious china dish that you dropped cannot suddenly reassemble itself, the faux pas you uttered at the boss' dinner party last night cannot be retrieved.

Others have not been so sure. Physical laws of motion, for example, work just as well in reverse as they do in forward motion. That is, if you filmed a system corresponding to the laws of Newtonian mechanics, you would never be able to tell whether the film was later being projected backward or forward. It is possible to calculate not only the future positions of the planets around the solar system but also their locations in the past. In the laws of physics, there is no preferred direction of physical processes in respect to time.

Indeed, this question of the direction of time has been considered with a great deal of thoughtful deliberation, and a number of books have been devoted entirely to this subject alone.¹ In the end, it seems that common expectation holds true. Students of the subject have generally come to the conclusion that the passage of time, notwithstanding events in certain popular novels and films, cannot be put in reverse. It proceeds only forward. The most powerful argument for one direction of time comes from the second law of thermodynamics, which holds that the degree of disorder, or *entropy*, in a system increases as a function of time. Left to themselves, systems become more disorganized, not the reverse. Predictably, things run down. Other evidence of the one-way arrow of time has been witnessed in the course of biological evolution, the chronological order of geological events, and the extended trends of astronomical events (like the life spans of stars or the expansion of the universe itself).

Another interesting perspective of time is that in its linear progressive flow, there exists no such thing as *now*. Like its counterpart in mathematics, the point representing the present is dimensionless. It, in fact, does not exist. As soon as you consider the point of now as the present, it has become part of the past. Contrary to all you learned about Zen theory, not to mention *carpe diem*, by this account you cannot live for the present because the present does not exist. There is only future and past. As Kai Krause has concluded, "Everything is about the anticipation of the moment and the memory of the moment, but not the moment."

The only way to make time stand still, as it were, is to think about it in terms of duration between two points in immediate time. That is, "I am now a student at the University of New Mexico." In this way, now becomes a state, a condition. And you could, I guess, remain a perpetual college student thereby freezing time indefinitely.

And, finally, being linked so intimately with the essence of human existence, it comes as no surprise that poets and philosophers have waxed nostalgic on just how precious time is. Consider the quote from Fernando Pessoa:

I sorely grieve over time's passage. It's always with exaggerated emotion that I leave something behind, whatever it may be. The miserable rented room where I lived for a few months, the dinner table at the provincial hotel where I stayed for six days, even the sad waiting room at the station where I spent two hours waiting for a train—yes, their loss grieves me. But the special things in life—when I leave them behind and realize with all my nerves' sensibility that I'll never see or have them again, at least not in that exact same moment—grieve me metaphysically. A chasm opens up in my soul and a cold breeze of the hour of God blows across my pallid face.²

Chronological Versus Physiological Time

In 1884, a group of international astronomers gathered in Washington, DC, to create worldwide time zones. Their goal: to eliminate the chaos and confusion that had previously existed as each locality the world sought to create its own particular time measure. In the United States, for instance, each railroad company set train arrivals and departures by its own time standard. The year before the historic meeting, almost 100 different railroad times were in force.

The world was divided into 24 longitudinal time zones to put us all in synch. They chose Greenwich, England, on the outskirts of London, as the starting point, or the *prime meridian*. (Today on visiting this site, one expects to see something extraordinary, maybe a bright yellow line running north and south through the grounds, but, no.) What was formalized in the capital city of the United States was the original idea of the ancient Babylonians a couple of thousand centuries earlier. They wanted to divide the day into 24 hours, with 60 minutes to an hour, and 60 seconds to a minute. This is all related, of course, to astronomical events, which define the chronological time that governs our lives.

Biological activities all vary rhythmically, in a manner that roughly approximates chronological time as well. Body temperature, heart rate, and blood pressure all wax and wane over specific time periods. Some of these *circadian rhythms* have direct bearing on sports performance. Chapter 4 deals with this phenomenon in more depth.

Another way that biological functions relate to the passage of time is the rate over time at which physiological processes take place. Scientists, in their attempts to define the real world as we see it, are accustomed to examining biological structure and function in concrete, three-dimensional terms, measuring things in grams, meters, or liters. However, recognition is growing that time, the impalpable factor of the fourth dimension, plays a critical role in how biological systems function. Most specifically, it is clear that such function must be couched in terms of its duration, or how long it takes to occur.

Functional activities of the body, such as sweating, cellular metabolism, or the rate of blood filtered by the kidney in the production of urine, all occur at a certain level of intensity related to time. Using these same examples, then, we can talk about the number of milliliters of sweat produced in a minute or metabolic rate in terms of the oxygen used by cells as liters per minute, or urine production in milliliters per hour. In the same way, we can also talk about time defining broader biological processes, such as life span or generation time (time between conception and the age of ability to procreate). We can define the limits of such functions, compare them between different people, and define abnormal functions (as in diseased conditions), all by describing their activities in respect to the time it takes for them to occur.

No surprises yet. But here's the interesting thing. The rates of these functions are not associated with chronological time at all. Somehow they missed the memo from Greenwich. Instead, intriguingly, they relate to body weight, or *mass*. The bigger you are, the slower these processes go in respect to chronological, or clock time, and the longer it takes them (on your watch) to occur. The heart rate per minute of a shrew, which weighs about 2.5 grams, is about 1,000 times in a minute. During the same time period, the heart of an elephant beats only 30 times, and a human being's beats only 70 times.

The same thing is true of rate of energy turnover within a mammal's cells when metabolism is expressed relative to the animal's size. That is, the smaller an animal, the more intensely its metabolic fires burn. The daily energy metabolism of a 30-gram mouse approximates 170 kilocalories for each kilogram of its body mass. A 300-kilogram cow uses about one-tenth as much, or 17 kilocalories per kilogram. Everything small animals do happens faster than the actions of big ones. It is not the

chronological clock that dictates the speed of physiological function, but rather, body size.³

We can express this link between physiological functional time and body mass by a kind of mathematical equation called an *allometric formula*:

$$Y = aM^b$$

Here, Y is a biological process (liters of blood per minute, or times between breaths), M is body mass, a is a proportionality constant, and b (the most important item) is the scaling factor that indicates the extent and direction of the relationship between changes in the variable Y and body mass. A value of 1.0 for b indicates that the rate of the biological function increases in direct proportion to body mass. That would be true for respiratory rate, for example, if an animal weighing 10 kilograms breathed 20 times per minute, while a 40-kilogram animal breathed 80 times per minute. If $b = 0$, body mass would have no relationship to the process Y . Values between zero and 1.0 tell us that the biological process Y is associated with body mass, but Y increases at a faster rate than mass does as an animal's size increases.

What is striking is that when one considers various physiological functions in different groups of animals, there is a rather remarkable frequency of values for the mass scaling exponent b that is approximately 0.25. In fact, William Calder was able to find 40 allometric equations in the research literature that related the time duration of different physiological processes in animals with body mass, all of which had a value of b between 0.25 and 0.39. The sidebar illustrates a few examples.

On examining this list, there is another intriguing observation. One cannot help being struck, not only by the consistency of quarter-power scaling exponents (that is, b is about 0.25) on this list, but also by the wide diversity of the biological functions included. Indeed, at least at first blush, they seem to have no obvious mechanistic connection at all. By what link could one assume, for example, that the rate of urine filtration in the kidney has any commonality with the twitch contraction of the soleus muscle? How about time to reproductive maturity in respect to the relationship to how much one weighs? What do these functions have in common that would give them nearly identical associations with body mass?

Allometric relationships between rate of biological functions and body mass (M) of adult mammals (compiled from multiple sources).

Life span, in captivity (years)	$11.6 M^{0.20}$
Reproductive maturity (years)	$0.75 M^{0.29}$
Gestation period (days)	$65 M^{0.25}$
Erythrocyte life span (days)	$23 M^{0.18}$
Plasma albumin half life (days)	$5 M^{0.32}$
Glomerular filtration rate (min.)	$6.5 M^{0.21}$
Blood circulation time (sec.)	$21 M^{0.21}$
Respiratory cycle (sec.)	$1.1 M^{0.26}$
Cardiac cycle (sec.)	$0.25 M^{0.25}$
Metabolic rate per kg (min.)	$70 M^{-0.25}$

Even the duration of life itself fits into this scheme, with a mass exponent of 0.20. From the observation, Calder remarked that “using maximum life span, rather than absolute time, it appears that each life comprises about the same number of physiologic events or actions; in other words, each animal lives its life faster or slower governed by size, but accomplishes just as much biologically whether large or small.”³

It’s almost as if we were born with a certain bank account of physiological function. The faster we use them up (in this analogy, make withdrawals from the account), the shorter our life expectancy. The average mouse has a 3-year life span, with a heart rate of 600 beats per minute (bpm), while the elephant lives 40 years, with a heart rate of 30 bpm. Yet their total number of heartbeats in a life time is similar. (Fortunately for you and me, human beings are outliers in this relationship. If we were to follow the pattern of other mammals, we would use up our allocated total of heartbeats by the time we reached age 25.)

A consideration of the obvious question of just why body mass is linked to the duration of physiological processes and events begs more time and space than is available here. The bottom line is that no one knows for sure. Attempts have been made to explain the mass scaling exponents for individual functions. But the ubiquitousness of quarter-power values for b , even in processes seemingly far removed as breathing rate, kidney glomerular filtration rate, and generation time, seem to indicate there is a universal underlying principle involved.

Even if you’re thrown by the math, the message should be clear. We can’t rely on physiological processes to follow chronological time—they march to a different drummer. And that can be important when studying those functions that influence physical fitness and sport performance.

Before leaving this issue of physiological time, another aspect of biological timekeeping relative to sport performance deserves mentioning. The many factors that combine to determine athletic prowess are extraordinarily complex, but they share one feature in common—during the course of childhood development, they progressively evolve, or mature, toward the adult state. These advances in physical, physiological, and psychosocial features are predictably translated into steady improvements in motor performance (whether the child is engaged in sports or not). But, once again, the tempo of biological development is not closely attuned to chronological time. Here, the biological clock is set largely by genetic factors. The rate of this process during the course of the childhood years can vary dramatically among children. Thus, at any given chronological age, you may witness wide differences in body size, composition, physiological function, motor skill, personality, and motivation. As Bob Malina, an anthropologist and sport scientist who has written extensively on this subject, has emphasized, “biologic processes have their own timetables and do not celebrate birthdays.”⁴ It is not difficult to appreciate the potential effect of this issue on early selection of talent, or the matching of competitors in contact sports like football. But this is a big subject. Chapter 6 presents a discussion with Professor Malina in more detail.

Athletes and Time

Athletes, it hardly deserves stating, are no strangers to the importance of time. Sometimes, in fact, time is the very point of the sport. How much time elapses while you run 100 meters as fast as you can? In other sports, time regulates the duration of the competition. How many times can a group

five unusually tall players throw a ball through a round hoop in 40 minutes? Indeed, without a clock most competitive sports would become quite meaningless. Yet, in other events, such as tennis or baseball, the timing of muscular action largely defines athletic skill.

Athletes are also keenly interested in their repeated performances over time. They become fixated on batting averages, fighting records, or field goal percentages. When repeated performances are unexpectedly poor, we talk about athletes being in a slump. When Michael Jordan sinks six three pointers in a row in the NBA finals against Portland, he's on a hot streak. What explains these runs? Since the athletes have typically experienced no physical changes at the time, we say they are more (or less) mentally focused. We hear them described as trying too hard or, when on a roll, just letting things flow. Getting hot is something all athletes strive for (and would be willing, no doubt, to give a lot to know the secret of why it was happening).

It comes as a bit of surprise, then, that people who know a lot about the statistics of randomness are quick to pooh-pooh such explanations. Being hot or in a slump, they say, is just a matter of chance.

Thomas Gilovich from Cornell University teamed up with Stanford colleagues Robert Vallone and Amos Tversky to analyze the success of streak shooting of the Philadelphia 76ers professional basketball team during seasons from 1980 to 1982. Their findings? "Variations in shooting percentages across games do not deviate from their overall shooting percentages enough to produce significantly more hot (or cold) nights than expected by chance alone."⁵

What they're saying is that if you flip a coin many, many times, you will eventually witness 10 heads in a row. Not often, but by chance, it will occur. And if you shoot a ball at a basket a large number of times, at some point, you will sink 10 in a row. Neither of you is more mentally focused. You're both in a groove, but only by statistical chance.⁵ (What bothers me about this analysis is that it seems that the people who have hot streaks always have names like DiMaggio and Jordan!)

Sometimes, too, athletes take great efforts to slow down time. Witness the interminable bouncing of the ball or tugging at the pants of my favorite pro tennis players (who will remain unnamed here) before they finally serve. Or the Yankees and the Red Sox, berated by umpires for taking their sweet time. Not to mention golfers on the PGA tour who dawdle on the back nine. The most obvious, though, are the basketball teams in the era before the shot clock who tried to protect their lead by stalling out the final minutes of play (see chapter 3).

Yogi's Wisdom

These pages would be incomplete without checking in to hear what Yogi Berra, baseball's resident philosopher, had to say about time. In fact, the keen malapropisms made by the former catcher for the New York Yankees contain useful insights about how we should think about this valuable commodity. Here are a few examples:

(When asked the time) "You mean, now?"

"The future ain't what it used to be."

"It gets late early out there."

"It's like déjà vu all over again."

"I usually take a two-hour nap, from one o'clock to four."

And everybody's favorite, "It ain't over until it's over."

(Just to keep things straight, I shouldn't put on airs like I know a lot about Yogi and the Yankees. I once possessed, I think, a Yogi Berra baseball card. I got a view of Yankee Stadium during a Circle Line boat tour around Manhattan when I

was nine. And I have a friend who lives in Memphis, Bruce Alpert, who attends Yankees fantasy baseball camps. But that's about it.)

Athletes, too, are very conscious of how time is best proportioned as they construct their training schedules. This *periodization*, or pattern of training, appears to influence gains in performance and permits peaking for big events. Athletes need to decide just how many weeks they should use high- and low-intensity training, how many days a week to devote to speed work, how many days of rest they should take, and how many days they should taper before a big competition.

All that training gets funneled down into those small particles of time we call competition. That's what it's all about. Athletes have taken their genetic gifts, done everything in their power to enhance them, and now—in just a few clicks of the clock—they must realize them.

This phenomenon is seen through moments in time that, often in dramatic fashion, serve to define the essence of sports itself. Carlton Fisk willing his drive to left field into fair territory during the sixth game of the 1975 World Series. John Landy glancing over his left shoulder as Roger Bannister passed by him on the outside in the final lap to win the mile of the century in Vancouver. Michael Jordan in full flight above the rim. Here, time is stilled—at least in memories and photographs—and moments are imbued with powerful meaning for the world of competitive sports.

Some have attributed spiritual qualities to such moments. In his 1999 book *The Tao of the Jump Shot* (Seastone Publishers), John Mahoney writes, “Although the jump shot is a dynamic movement of energy, there is one unique point, a nearly measureless instant, during which the athlete remains frozen in space. The point of release, born in a moment of stillness. . .”

Sometimes, rarely, remarkably, athletes seem to transcend the tyranny of time altogether. Like Roger Bannister on the final straightaway: “There was no pain, only a great unity of movement and aim.” In the throes of supreme physical effort, they've been transported somewhere else, someplace where even the clock doesn't matter. Victor Price, in his short story “The Other Kingdom,” wrote about this:

As they entered the straight they moved into a purely physical kingdom. Nothing mattered now but to keep going. . . . It was a wave of feral aggression, a lust for power and at the same time a sacred terror, as though he were pursued by some fierce and inescapable beast. Life existed now only as far as the finishing tape. The element of time expanded and contracted simultaneously; a seriousness on the threshold of physical agony, where the actions of this one man were determining the fate of all men, and none of the rules of life applied anymore. There was no good, no evil, no success, no failure. There was only the man eternally running. . . .⁶

(Alas, for most of us mere mortals, crossing the finishing line of a race is more likely to be accompanied by waves of nausea, hunger for air, cramps, and the longing for a warm shower.)

What's Ahead in This Book

These pages explore ways that considerations of time and its relationship to work effort might optimize sport performance. This is our central theme. From many perspectives, this book shows that the influences of time on sport success are seemingly fixed, outside the athlete's control. Our muscles weaken as we age, we swing a bat in milliseconds at an oncoming fastball, our leg muscles contract in a beautifully synchronized harmony during a 10K road race. All these lie in different levels of time, which we have little say over the matter.

But this book also poses some interesting and challenging questions about just how much athletes can or should try to manipulate time to their advantage. If physiological responses to training vary rhythmically during the course of a day, would it be rewarding to select these times to head to the track? If a coach thinks that a high stroke count leads to a better time in a particular swimming event, should the athlete go with it? Or is it better to stick to a cadence that feels intuitively more normal—one directed by a subconscious controller of stroke tempo in the brain? Be forewarned that the answers to such questions are not obvious. Perhaps that is part of what makes sports so glorious. The wisdom of the body's motor controllers that tick to an intrinsic clock. The athlete's experience and decision making. All of this coming together in a fascinating *mélange* that dictates athletic success.

These chapters present a recurring question: Is the control of physical effort over time—sports performance—under the conscious dictates of the athlete? That is, can runners in a 10K race willfully strategize their pace to produce the best result? Or, are they under the control of unconscious processes within their central nervous systems that decide, without their knowledge, how fast to go and how to regulate stride frequency and stride length? Do human beings control athletic performance, or do forces of nature rule, such as rhythmic biological variations over time or inexorable deterioration with age over time?

If I could contact Charles Darwin on the bridge of the *Beagle*, I think I know how he would weigh in on this question. He'd say that the process of human locomotion has evolved over thousands of centuries, and the factors that define both the best way to move and the limits of physical exertion are the products of all that natural experimentation. These factors are geared toward survival—limits are placed to protect us from catastrophic outcomes (fatal dysrhythmias, bone fractures, shredded muscles), and we exercise in a way that best preserves energy stores.

And athletes? Even without a formal poll, we could predict that most would be skeptical of the argument. That athletes can't willfully strategize during a distance competition seems contrary to their experiences. Of course, this issue lies far beyond that simply of athletic success. It is quickly encountered by the end of the third week of any introductory philosophy course. Human determinism. Who (or what) is really in control here? The conscious or the subconscious? Are the forces of destiny—or the finish time in a 10K road race—under our conscious control?

Unlike the philosophical arguments, the question in the realm of athletic performance is at least potentially amenable to an experimental approach. The latter pages of this book examine some of these investigations. Even when nature is clearly a basic driving force—as in the effects of aging on performance—can athletes use cognitive strategies to subdue or overcome limits placed by biological factors that are out of their direct control? Is it safe to do so? I now count eight questions in the last three paragraphs without any obvious answers. Alas, insights to these dilemmas are not altogether at hand. But, such issues are intriguing. In many cases, they also have direct bearing on how athletes should best face competitive challenges.

But why write a book on this subject? In doing so, I have two particular goals in mind. The first is to examine some aspects of time that carry very real importance for performing athletes. How is muscular work best adjusted relative to time to provide optimal pacing during aerobic endurance competitions like distance running or cycling? How are such approaches changed when, instead of pacing, an all-out effort (such as in short-distance sprinting) is called for? Do particular times of day or season make sport training more effective or maximize performance? Can athletes alter their perception of time to improve neuromotor responses to high-speed events like, for instance, hitting a pitched baseball? Chapters 1, 3, 4, and 5 focus on these questions. Clearly, we are only beginning to understand these issues. But, it would seem that this information underscores the idea that

understanding how time influences motor performance may offer valuable guidance to athletes. I hope this book will provide sport competitors with concrete strategies for optimizing athletic performance.

Do athletes perceive time differently than nonathletes? Consider the quarterback engineering an offensive drive in the final two minutes who is trailing by a touchdown. The returner of the serve at Wimbledon who is down a break in the fifth set. Do they feel time in a unique way? The answer is not entirely clear, but these pages may reveal some clues.

Beyond such issues, too, this book presents some fascinating questions regarding the basic mechanisms by which time influences physiological function, which then can be translated into pushing the limits of motor performance. The first three chapters examine how subconscious directors of motor activity within the central nervous system dictate how fast we run, and how the complex sequence of muscle activation and its tempo are best regulated for optimal performance. Chapter 4 addresses the intriguing biological clock that alters function in a temporal fashion. These circadian rhythms, first recognized for whole animals, are witnessed even at a molecular level in individual cells themselves. The final chapter reviews how, over the life span, time alters motor function, particularly as it decays in the waning years of life. It shows that the dictates of time are inexorably linked to the limits of human existence.

This book takes you on a journey through the effects of time on motor performance. It does so from the standpoint of how such an understanding might be utilized by athletes to improve performance. I also appreciate the incredible complexity and beauty—dare we call it spirituality?—that underlies such mechanisms. These, in effect, serve in many ways to define our existence on this planet. In doing so, then, John, I attempt to keep the magic.

Notes

1. A thorough discussion of the direction of time can be found in the following sources: Conwency, R., and R. Highfred. 1990. *The arrow of time*. London: W.H. Allen. Leggett, A.J. 1982. "The 'arrow of time' and quantum mechanics." In *The enigma of time*, ed. P.T. Landsberg, 149-156. Bristol: Adam Hilger.
2. Philosophical (and sentimental) considerations of the nature of the passage of time can be found in this work: Pessoa, Fernando. 2002. *The book of disquiet*. London: Penguin Books.
3. There are a number of excellent and very readable reviews of the influence of body size on physiological function, including the following: Bonner, J.T. 2006. *Why size matters*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. Calder, W.A. 1984. *Size, function and life history*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, p. 141. Schmidt-Nielsen, K. 1984. *Scaling. Why is animal size important?* Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
4. Further reading on biological maturation of physical fitness during growth: Malina, R.M., C. Bouchard, and O. Bar-Or. 2000. *Growth, maturation, and physical activity*. 2nd ed. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
5. More on the randomness of streaks in athletic performance can be found in the following sources: Gilovich, T., R. Vallone, and A. Tversky. 1985. "The hot hand in basketball: On the misperception of random sequences." *Cognitive Psychology* 17: 295-311. Mlodinow, L. 2008. *The drunkard's walk. How randomness rules our lives*. New York: Vintage Books.
6. Price, V. 1994. "The other kingdom." In *The runner's literary companion*, ed. G. Battista, p. 66. New York: Penguin Books.

CHAPTER 1

Selecting the amount of muscle force to generate over time—race speed—is critical for optimizing performance in sports like distance running, cycling, and swimming. This chapter examines how such velocities are chosen, monitored, and adjusted—either by the athlete’s cognition or by a clever mechanism located within the central nervous system that controls the subconscious.

WHO'S IN CHARGE HERE?

Setting the Race Pace

In the spring of 2008, on the Isle of Wight, a British photographer named Norman Potter put on an exhibition of 50 pieces of his work titled, rather mysteriously, “Photographer Unknown.” Guests strolled about, taking in images of war, politicians, famine, and train robbers. Suddenly, on the back wall, there it was: without question, the greatest sports photo of all time. Roger Bannister, mouth agape and head thrown back, gasping for air in his final, agonizing strides to the tape in 3:59.4.

We see the spectators, some cheering, some frozen in awe. The timekeeper, maintaining his British cool, puffs on his pipe and soberly checks his stopwatch. The four-minute mile, incredibly, had been achieved! 5,280 feet. In fewer than 240 ticks of the clock. Some had said it would never happen. Others were worried that the human machine would fail before it went that fast. But here it was, the moment captured for eternity (figure 1.1).



FIGURE 1.1. An iconic photo of Bannister breaking the four-minute-mile barrier.
PA Photos.

Norman Potter was the person who took that picture, but nobody knew it for 50 years. The greatest sports photo ever. It was always published with the credit line “photographer unknown” (thus explaining the exhibition title) or “central press.” It wasn’t until 2004 that Potter, by now a legendary photographer, revealed it to be his. He explained that in 1954, agency pictures were not usual.

attributed to individual photographers. And he was, after all, just a young press photographer at the time, starting out a five-year apprenticeship. “Photography did not make me rich or famous,” he told a reporter from the *Isle of Wight News*, “but what a great life I’ve had.” (In a parallel fashion, the original 5-by-4 inch glass negative of his famous photo had been missing for 20 years when it was discovered, with a large crack down the center, in a box of broken photo plates. Thanks to some technological tricks, it has now been restored.)

Potter’s photo shows some other things, too: a return of dignity and self-respect to a war-torn Great Britain, a victory for what some considered the purity of amateur sport, a symbol of the power of the human will to overcome seemingly impossible barriers. It is a fitting start to the pages of this book since there has been no greater monument to athletic sacrifices in response to the challenge of time.

Many are aware that Roger Bannister was a medical student at nearby Oxford during those heady days in the spring of 1954. Less well known, though, is that he was also completing a research scholarship on the physiology of running. Bannister was a researcher, and his insights into how the human machine responds to high-intensity exercise in the laboratory were crucial in formulating his plans for racing success.¹ He deduced that an important aspect was reducing energy demands, which could best be achieved by the following measures:

- Running as smoothly as possible
- Eliminating useless motion
- Keeping an even pace

He reasoned that during his mile attempt, he would lose more energy by accelerating than he would save by slowing down. Therefore, keeping his energy demands as constant as possible would be critical to his success. (His actual lap-split times in breaking the four-minute barrier were 57.5, 60.6, 62.4, and 59.0 seconds.)

Not a great deal has changed since then. The track on Iffley Road where the four-minute barrier was smashed is still used by Oxford students, though its dirt and cinder lanes are long gone. It has been renamed in Sir Roger’s honor and paved over with an artificial surface. Sir Roger himself still lives in Oxford, just a few minutes away. He has completed a distinguished 40-year career as a neurologist, contributing important insights into why the autonomic nervous system sometimes fails to respond to stressful stimuli. Norman Potter is doing more fishing these days. The weather? Well, that’s not changed much either—it’s still gray, cool, windy, and drizzly. (It cleared, miraculously, just before Sir Roger’s assault on the mile record.)

Half a century later, Potter’s iconic photograph still sends a chill down the spine. It sets the stage for many of the ideas in this chapter—the scientific approach to pacing strategies, the question of what (or what) controls the limits of racing effort, and, not least, the importance of taking a good photo at the finish tape.

Optimal Pacing Strategy

When it comes to choosing strategies for performance success, football teams (the American variety, that is) have it made—they’ve a lot to choose from. Just before the snap of the ball, it’s a mystery to the defense what it’s going to be—a handoff up the middle, a screen pass, a running sweep around the

- [download online Strangled Silence \(Strangled Silence, Book 1\)](#)
- [download online Science Fiction and Organization \(Routledge Studies in Human Resource Development\)](#)
- [The Extra Man: A Novel pdf, azw \(kindle\), epub, doc, mobi](#)
- [download online Toxicology pdf, azw \(kindle\)](#)
- [download The Political Unconscious of Architecture \(Ashgate Studies in Architecture\)](#)

- <http://musor.ruspb.info/?library/The-Uses-of-Literature--Essays.pdf>
- <http://aseasonedman.com/ebooks/Science-Fiction-and-Organization--Routledge-Studies-in-Human-Resource-Development-.pdf>
- <http://betsy.wesleychapelcomputerrepair.com/library/German-Battleships-1939-45--New-Vanguard--Volume-71-.pdf>
- <http://damianfoster.com/books/The-Meat-Buyers-Guide---Meat--Lamb--Veal--Pork-and-Poultry.pdf>
- <http://academialanguagebar.com/?ebooks/His-Contract-Bride--Banks-Brothers-Brides--Book-1-.pdf>