

Think Bayes



O'REILLY®

Allen B. Downey

Think Bayes

If you know how to program with Python, and know a little about probability, you're ready to tackle Bayesian statistics. This book shows you how to solve statistical problems with Python code instead of mathematical notation, and use discrete probability distributions instead of continuous mathematics. Once you get the math out of the way, the Bayesian fundamentals will become clearer, and you'll begin to apply these techniques to real-world problems.

Bayesian statistical methods are becoming more common and more important, but not many resources are available to help beginners. Based on undergraduate classes taught by author Allen Downey, this book's computational approach helps you get a solid start.

- Use your existing programming skills to learn and understand Bayesian statistics
- Work with problems involving estimation, prediction, decision analysis, evidence, and hypothesis testing
- Get started with simple examples, using coins, M&Ms, Dungeons & Dragons dice, paintball, and hockey
- Learn computational methods for solving real-world problems, such as interpreting SAT scores, simulating kidney tumors, and modeling the human microbiome

Allen Downey is a Professor of Computer Science at Olin College of Engineering. With a Ph.D. in Computer Science from U.C. Berkeley, he has taught computer science at Wellesley College, Colby College, and U.C. Berkeley. He is also the author of *Think Stats* and *Think Python* (both O'Reilly).

Strata

Making Data Work

Strata is the emerging ecosystem of people, tools, and technologies that turn big data into smart decisions. Find information and resources at oreilly.com/data.



Twitter: [@oreillymedia](https://twitter.com/oreillymedia)
 Facebook: facebook.com/oreilly

US \$29.99

CAN \$31.99

ISBN: 978-1-449-37078-7



5 2999

9 781449 370787

O'REILLY®
oreilly.com

Think Bayes

Allen B. Downey

Beijing • Cambridge • Farnham • Köln • Sebastopol • Tokyo

OREILLY®

Think Bayes

by Allen B. Downey

Copyright © 2013 Allen B. Downey. All rights reserved.

Printed in the United States of America.

Published by O'Reilly Media, Inc., 1005 Gravenstein Highway North, Sebastopol, CA 95472.

O'Reilly books may be purchased for educational, business, or sales promotional use. Online editions are also available for most titles (<http://safaribooksonline.com>). For more information, contact our corporate/institutional sales department: 800-998-9938 or corporate@oreilly.com.

Editors: Mike Loukides and Ann Spencer

Cover Designer: Randy Comer

Production Editor: Melanie Yarbrough

Interior Designer: David Futato

Proofreader: Jasmine Kwityn

Illustrator: Rebecca Demarest

Indexer: Allen Downey

September 2013: First Edition

Revision History for the First Edition:

2013-09-10: First release

2014-02-10: Second release

2014-08-22: Third release

See <http://oreilly.com/catalog/errata.csp?isbn=9781449370787> for release details.

Nutshell Handbook, the Nutshell Handbook logo, and the O'Reilly logo are registered trademarks of O'Reilly Media, Inc. *Think Bayes*, the cover image of a red striped mullet, and related trade dress are trademarks of O'Reilly Media, Inc.

Many of the designations used by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks. Where those designations appear in this book, and O'Reilly Media, Inc., was aware of a trademark claim, the designations have been printed in caps or initial caps.

Think Bayes is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported License. The author maintains an online version at <http://thinkbayes.com>.

While every precaution has been taken in the preparation of this book, the publisher and authors assume no responsibility for errors or omissions, or for damages resulting from the use of the information contained herein.

ISBN: 978-1-449-37078-7

[LSI]

Table of Contents

Preface	ix
1. Bayes's Theorem	1
Conditional probability	1
Conjoint probability	2
The cookie problem	3
Bayes's theorem	3
The diachronic interpretation	5
The M&M problem	6
The Monty Hall problem	7
Discussion	9
2. Computational Statistics	11
Distributions	11
The cookie problem	12
The Bayesian framework	13
The Monty Hall problem	14
Encapsulating the framework	15
The M&M problem	16
Discussion	17
Exercises	18
3. Estimation	19
The dice problem	19
The locomotive problem	20
What about that prior?	22
An alternative prior	23
Credible intervals	25
Cumulative distribution functions	26

The German tank problem	27
Discussion	27
Exercises	28
4. More Estimation.....	29
The Euro problem	29
Summarizing the posterior	31
Swamping the priors	31
Optimization	33
The beta distribution	35
Discussion	36
Exercises	37
5. Odds and Addends.....	39
Odds	39
The odds form of Bayes's theorem	40
Oliver's blood	41
Addends	42
Maxima	45
Mixtures	47
Discussion	49
6. Decision Analysis.....	51
The Price is Right problem	51
The prior	52
Probability density functions	53
Representing PDFs	53
Modeling the contestants	55
Likelihood	58
Update	58
Optimal bidding	60
Discussion	62
7. Prediction.....	65
The Boston Bruins problem	65
Poisson processes	66
The posteriors	67
The distribution of goals	68
The probability of winning	70
Sudden death	71
Discussion	73
Exercises	74

8. Observer Bias.....	77
The Red Line problem	77
The model	77
Wait times	79
Predicting wait times	82
Estimating the arrival rate	84
Incorporating uncertainty	87
Decision analysis	88
Discussion	90
Exercises	91
9. Two Dimensions.....	93
Paintball	93
The suite	93
Trigonometry	95
Likelihood	96
Joint distributions	97
Conditional distributions	98
Credible intervals	99
Discussion	101
Exercises	103
10. Approximate Bayesian Computation.....	105
The Variability Hypothesis	105
Mean and standard deviation	106
Update	108
The posterior distribution of CV	108
Underflow	109
Log-likelihood	111
A little optimization	111
ABC	113
Robust estimation	114
Who is more variable?	116
Discussion	118
Exercises	119
11. Hypothesis Testing.....	121
Back to the Euro problem	121
Making a fair comparison	122
The triangle prior	123
Discussion	124
Exercises	125

12. Evidence.....	127
Interpreting SAT scores	127
The scale	128
The prior	128
Posterior	130
A better model	132
Calibration	134
Posterior distribution of efficacy	136
Predictive distribution	137
Discussion	138
13. Simulation.....	141
The Kidney Tumor problem	141
A simple model	143
A more general model	144
Implementation	146
Caching the joint distribution	147
Conditional distributions	148
Serial Correlation	150
Discussion	153
14. A Hierarchical Model.....	155
The Geiger counter problem	155
Start simple	156
Make it hierarchical	157
A little optimization	158
Extracting the posteriors	159
Discussion	159
Exercises	160
15. Dealing with Dimensions.....	163
Belly button bacteria	163
Lions and tigers and bears	164
The hierarchical version	166
Random sampling	168
Optimization	169
Collapsing the hierarchy	170
One more problem	173
We're not done yet	174
The belly button data	175
Predictive distributions	179
Joint posterior	182

Coverage	184
Discussion	185
Index.....	187

Preface

My theory, which is mine

The premise of this book, and the other books in the *Think X* series, is that if you know how to program, you can use that skill to learn other topics.

Most books on Bayesian statistics use mathematical notation and present ideas in terms of mathematical concepts like calculus. This book uses Python code instead of math, and discrete approximations instead of continuous mathematics. As a result, what would be an integral in a math book becomes a summation, and most operations on probability distributions are simple loops.

I think this presentation is easier to understand, at least for people with programming skills. It is also more general, because when we make modeling decisions, we can choose the most appropriate model without worrying too much about whether the model lends itself to conventional analysis.

Also, it provides a smooth development path from simple examples to real-world problems. [Chapter 3](#) is a good example. It starts with a simple example involving dice, one of the staples of basic probability. From there it proceeds in small steps to the locomotive problem, which I borrowed from Mosteller's *Fifty Challenging Problems in Probability with Solutions*, and from there to the German tank problem, a famously successful application of Bayesian methods during World War II.

Modeling and approximation

Most chapters in this book are motivated by a real-world problem, so they involve some degree of modeling. Before we can apply Bayesian methods (or any other analysis), we have to make decisions about which parts of the real-world system to include in the model and which details we can abstract away.

For example, in [Chapter 7](#), the motivating problem is to predict the winner of a hockey game. I model goal-scoring as a Poisson process, which implies that a goal is equally

likely at any point in the game. That is not exactly true, but it is probably a good enough model for most purposes.

In **Chapter 12** the motivating problem is interpreting SAT scores (the SAT is a standardized test used for college admissions in the United States). I start with a simple model that assumes that all SAT questions are equally difficult, but in fact the designers of the SAT deliberately include some questions that are relatively easy and some that are relatively hard. I present a second model that accounts for this aspect of the design, and show that it doesn't have a big effect on the results after all.

I think it is important to include modeling as an explicit part of problem solving because it reminds us to think about modeling errors (that is, errors due to simplifications and assumptions of the model).

Many of the methods in this book are based on discrete distributions, which makes some people worry about numerical errors. But for real-world problems, numerical errors are almost always smaller than modeling errors.

Furthermore, the discrete approach often allows better modeling decisions, and I would rather have an approximate solution to a good model than an exact solution to a bad model.

On the other hand, continuous methods sometimes yield performance advantages—for example by replacing a linear- or quadratic-time computation with a constant-time solution.

So I recommend a general process with these steps:

1. While you are exploring a problem, start with simple models and implement them in code that is clear, readable, and demonstrably correct. Focus your attention on good modeling decisions, not optimization.
2. Once you have a simple model working, identify the biggest sources of error. You might need to increase the number of values in a discrete approximation, or increase the number of iterations in a Monte Carlo simulation, or add details to the model.
3. If the performance of your solution is good enough for your application, you might not have to do any optimization. But if you do, there are two approaches to consider. You can review your code and look for optimizations; for example, if you cache previously computed results you might be able to avoid redundant computation. Or you can look for analytic methods that yield computational shortcuts.

One benefit of this process is that Steps 1 and 2 tend to be fast, so you can explore several alternative models before investing heavily in any of them.

Another benefit is that if you get to Step 3, you will be starting with a reference implementation that is likely to be correct, which you can use for regression testing (that is, checking that the optimized code yields the same results, at least approximately).

Working with the code

Many of the examples in this book use classes and functions defined in `thinkbayes.py`. You can download this module from <http://thinkbayes.com/thinkbayes.py>.

Most chapters contain references to code you can download from <http://thinkbayes.com>. Some of those files have dependencies you will also have to download. I suggest you keep all of these files in the same directory so they can import each other without changing the Python search path.

You can download these files one at a time as you need them, or you can download them all at once from http://thinkbayes.com/thinkbayes_code.zip. This file also contains the data files used by some of the programs. When you unzip it, it creates a directory named `thinkbayes_code` that contains all the code used in this book.

Or, if you are a Git user, you can get all of the files at once by forking and cloning this repository: <https://github.com/AllenDowney/ThinkBayes>.

One of the modules I use is `thinkplot.py`, which provides wrappers for some of the functions in `matplotlib`. To use it, you need to install `matplotlib`. If you don't already have it, check your package manager to see if it is available. Otherwise you can get download instructions from <http://matplotlib.org>.

Finally, some programs in this book use NumPy and SciPy, which are available from <http://numpy.org> and <http://scipy.org>.

Code style

Experienced Python programmers will notice that the code in this book does not comply with PEP 8, which is the most common style guide for Python (<http://www.python.org/dev/peps/pep-0008/>).

Specifically, PEP 8 calls for lowercase function names with underscores between words, `like_this`. In this book and the accompanying code, function and method names begin with a capital letter and use camel case, `LikeThis`.

I broke this rule because I developed some of the code while I was a Visiting Scientist at Google, so I followed the Google style guide, which deviates from PEP 8 in a few places. Once I got used to Google style, I found that I liked it. And at this point, it would be too much trouble to change.

Also on the topic of style, I write “Bayes’s theorem” with an *s* after the apostrophe, which is preferred in some style guides and deprecated in others. I don’t have a strong preference. I had to choose one, and this is the one I chose.

And finally one typographical note: throughout the book, I use PMF and CDF for the mathematical concept of a probability mass function or cumulative distribution function, and Pmf and Cdf to refer to the Python objects I use to represent them.

Prerequisites

There are several excellent modules for doing Bayesian statistics in Python, including `pymc` and `OpenBUGS`. I chose not to use them for this book because you need a fair amount of background knowledge to get started with these modules, and I want to keep the prerequisites minimal. If you know Python and a little bit about probability, you are ready to start this book.

Chapter 1 is about probability and Bayes's theorem; it has no code. **Chapter 2** introduces `Pmf`, a thinly disguised Python dictionary I use to represent a probability mass function (PMF). Then **Chapter 3** introduces `Suite`, a kind of `Pmf` that provides a framework for doing Bayesian updates. And that's just about all there is to it.

Well, almost. In some of the later chapters, I use analytic distributions including the Gaussian (normal) distribution, the exponential and Poisson distributions, and the beta distribution. In **Chapter 15** I break out the less-common Dirichlet distribution, but I explain it as I go along. If you are not familiar with these distributions, you can read about them on Wikipedia. You could also read the companion to this book, *Think Stats*, or an introductory statistics book (although I'm afraid most of them take a mathematical approach that is not particularly helpful for practical purposes).

Conventions Used in This Book

The following typographical conventions are used in this book:

Italic

Indicates new terms, URLs, email addresses, filenames, and file extensions.

Constant width

Used for program listings, as well as within paragraphs to refer to program elements such as variable or function names, databases, data types, environment variables, statements, and keywords.

Constant width bold

Shows commands or other text that should be typed literally by the user.

Constant width italic

Shows text that should be replaced with user-supplied values or by values determined by context.



This icon signifies a tip, suggestion, or general note.



This icon indicates a warning or caution.

Safari® Books Online



Safari Books Online (www.safaribooksonline.com) is an on-demand digital library that delivers expert **content** in both book and video form from the world's leading authors in technology and business.

Technology professionals, software developers, web designers, and business and creative professionals use Safari Books Online as their primary resource for research, problem solving, learning, and certification training.

Safari Books Online offers a range of **plans and pricing** for **enterprise, government, education**, and individuals. Members have access to thousands of books, training videos, and prepublication manuscripts in one fully searchable database from publishers like O'Reilly Media, Prentice Hall Professional, Addison-Wesley Professional, Microsoft Press, Sams, Que, Peachpit Press, Focal Press, Cisco Press, John Wiley & Sons, Syngress, Morgan Kaufmann, IBM Redbooks, Packt, Adobe Press, FT Press, Apress, Manning, New Riders, McGraw-Hill, Jones & Bartlett, Course Technology, and hundreds **more**. For more information about Safari Books Online, please visit us **online**.

How to Contact Us

Please address comments and questions concerning this book to the publisher:

O'Reilly Media, Inc.
1005 Gravenstein Highway North
Sebastopol, CA 95472
800-998-9938 (in the United States or Canada)
707-829-0515 (international or local)
707-829-0104 (fax)

We have a web page for this book, where we list errata, examples, and any additional information. You can access this page at <http://oreil.ly/think-bayes>.

To comment or ask technical questions about this book, send email to bookquestions@oreilly.com.

For more information about our books, courses, conferences, and news, see our website at <http://www.oreilly.com>.

Find us on Facebook: <http://facebook.com/oreilly>

Follow us on Twitter: <http://twitter.com/oreillymedia>

Watch us on YouTube: <http://www.youtube.com/oreillymedia>

Contributor List

If you have a suggestion or correction, please send email to downey@allendowney.com. If I make a change based on your feedback, I will add you to the contributor list (unless you ask to be omitted).

If you include at least part of the sentence the error appears in, that makes it easy for me to search. Page and section numbers are fine, too, but not as easy to work with. Thanks!

- First, I have to acknowledge David MacKay's excellent book, *Information Theory, Inference, and Learning Algorithms*, which is where I first came to understand Bayesian methods. With his permission, I use several problems from his book as examples.
- This book also benefited from my interactions with Sanjoy Mahajan, especially in fall 2012, when I audited his class on Bayesian Inference at Olin College.
- I wrote parts of this book during project nights with the Boston Python User Group, so I would like to thank them for their company and pizza.
- Jonathan Edwards sent in the first typo.
- George Purkins found a markup error.
- Olivier Yiptong sent several helpful suggestions.
- Yuriy Pasichnyk found several errors.
- Kristopher Overholt sent a long list of corrections and suggestions.
- Robert Marcus found a misplaced *i*.
- Max Hailperin suggested a clarification in [Chapter 1](#).
- Markus Dobler pointed out that drawing cookies from a bowl with replacement is an unrealistic scenario.

-
- Tom Pollard and Paul A. Giannaros spotted a version problem with some of the numbers in the train example.
 - Ram Limbu found a typo and suggested a clarification.
 - In spring 2013, students in my class, Computational Bayesian Statistics, made many helpful corrections and suggestions: Kai Austin, Claire Barnes, Kari Bender, Rachel Boy, Kat Mendoza, Arjun Iyer, Ben Kroop, Nathan Lintz, Kyle McConnaughay, Alec Radford, Brendan Ritter, and Evan Simpson.
 - Greg Marra and Matt Aasted helped me clarify the discussion of *The Price is Right* problem.
 - Marcus Ogren pointed out that the original statement of the locomotive problem was ambiguous.
 - Jasmine Kwityn and Dan Fauxsmith at O'Reilly Media proofread the book and found many opportunities for improvement.

CHAPTER 1

Bayes's Theorem

Conditional probability

The fundamental idea behind all Bayesian statistics is Bayes's theorem, which is surprisingly easy to derive, provided that you understand conditional probability. So we'll start with probability, then conditional probability, then Bayes's theorem, and on to Bayesian statistics.

A probability is a number between 0 and 1 (including both) that represents a degree of belief in a fact or prediction. The value 1 represents certainty that a fact is true, or that a prediction will come true. The value 0 represents certainty that the fact is false.

Intermediate values represent degrees of certainty. The value 0.5, often written as 50%, means that a predicted outcome is as likely to happen as not. For example, the probability that a tossed coin lands face up is very close to 50%.

A conditional probability is a probability based on some background information. For example, I want to know the probability that I will have a heart attack in the next year. According to the CDC, "Every year about 785,000 Americans have a first coronary attack (<http://www.cdc.gov/heartdisease/facts.htm>)."

The U.S. population is about 311 million, so the probability that a randomly chosen American will have a heart attack in the next year is roughly 0.3%.

But I am not a randomly chosen American. Epidemiologists have identified many factors that affect the risk of heart attacks; depending on those factors, my risk might be higher or lower than average.

I am male, 45 years old, and I have borderline high cholesterol. Those factors increase my chances. However, I have low blood pressure and I don't smoke, and those factors decrease my chances.

Plugging everything into the online calculator at <http://cvdrisk.nhlbi.nih.gov/calculator.asp>, I find that my risk of a heart attack in the next year is about 0.2%, less than the national average. That value is a conditional probability, because it is based on a number of factors that make up my “condition.”

The usual notation for conditional probability is $p(A|B)$, which is the probability of A given that B is true. In this example, A represents the prediction that I will have a heart attack in the next year, and B is the set of conditions I listed.

Conjoint probability

Conjoint probability is a fancy way to say the probability that two things are true. I write $p(A \text{ and } B)$ to mean the probability that A and B are both true.

If you learned about probability in the context of coin tosses and dice, you might have learned the following formula:

$$p(A \text{ and } B) = p(A) p(B) \quad \text{WARNING: not always true}$$

For example, if I toss two coins, and A means the first coin lands face up, and B means the second coin lands face up, then $p(A) = p(B) = 0.5$, and sure enough, $p(A \text{ and } B) = p(A) p(B) = 0.25$.

But this formula only works because in this case A and B are independent; that is, knowing the outcome of the first event does not change the probability of the second. Or, more formally, $p(B|A) = p(B)$.

Here is a different example where the events are not independent. Suppose that A means that it rains today and B means that it rains tomorrow. If I know that it rained today, it is more likely that it will rain tomorrow, so $p(B|A) > p(B)$.

In general, the probability of a conjunction is

$$p(A \text{ and } B) = p(A) p(B|A)$$

for any A and B . So if the chance of rain on any given day is 0.5, the chance of rain on two consecutive days is not 0.25, but probably a bit higher.

The cookie problem

We'll get to Bayes's theorem soon, but I want to motivate it with an example called the cookie problem.¹ Suppose there are two bowls of cookies. Bowl 1 contains 30 vanilla cookies and 10 chocolate cookies. Bowl 2 contains 20 of each.

Now suppose you choose one of the bowls at random and, without looking, select a cookie at random. The cookie is vanilla. What is the probability that it came from Bowl 1?

This is a conditional probability; we want $p(\text{Bowl 1}|\text{vanilla})$, but it is not obvious how to compute it. If I asked a different question—the probability of a vanilla cookie given Bowl 1—it would be easy:

$$p(\text{vanilla}|\text{Bowl 1}) = 3/4$$

Sadly, $p(A|B)$ is *not* the same as $p(B|A)$, but there is a way to get from one to the other: Bayes's theorem.

Bayes's theorem

At this point we have everything we need to derive Bayes's theorem. We'll start with the observation that conjunction is commutative; that is

$$p(A \text{ and } B) = p(B \text{ and } A)$$

for any events A and B .

Next, we write the probability of a conjunction:

$$p(A \text{ and } B) = p(A) p(B|A)$$

Since we have not said anything about what A and B mean, they are interchangeable. Interchanging them yields

$$p(B \text{ and } A) = p(B) p(A|B)$$

That's all we need. Pulling those pieces together, we get

$$p(B) p(A|B) = p(A) p(B|A)$$

1. Based on an example from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bayes'_theorem that is no longer there.

Which means there are two ways to compute the conjunction. If you have $p(A)$, you multiply by the conditional probability $p(B|A)$. Or you can do it the other way around; if you know $p(B)$, you multiply by $p(A|B)$. Either way you should get the same thing.

Finally we can divide through by $p(B)$:

$$p(A|B) = \frac{p(A) p(B|A)}{p(B)}$$

And that's Bayes's theorem! It might not look like much, but it turns out to be surprisingly powerful.

For example, we can use it to solve the cookie problem. I'll write B_1 for the hypothesis that the cookie came from Bowl 1 and V for the vanilla cookie. Plugging in Bayes's theorem we get

$$p(B_1|V) = \frac{p(B_1) p(V|B_1)}{p(V)}$$

The term on the left is what we want: the probability of Bowl 1, given that we chose a vanilla cookie. The terms on the right are:

- $p(B_1)$: This is the probability that we chose Bowl 1, unconditioned by what kind of cookie we got. Since the problem says we chose a bowl at random, we can assume $p(B_1) = 1/2$.
- $p(V|B_1)$: This is the probability of getting a vanilla cookie from Bowl 1, which is $3/4$.
- $p(V)$: This is the probability of drawing a vanilla cookie from either bowl. Since we had an equal chance of choosing either bowl and the bowls contain the same number of cookies, we had the same chance of choosing any cookie. Between the two bowls there are 50 vanilla and 30 chocolate cookies, so $p(V) = 5/8$.

Putting it together, we have

$$p(B_1|V) = \frac{(1/2) (3/4)}{5/8}$$

which reduces to $3/5$. So the vanilla cookie is evidence in favor of the hypothesis that we chose Bowl 1, because vanilla cookies are more likely to come from Bowl 1.

This example demonstrates one use of Bayes's theorem: it provides a strategy to get from $p(B|A)$ to $p(A|B)$. This strategy is useful in cases, like the cookie problem, where it is

easier to compute the terms on the right side of Bayes's theorem than the term on the left.

The diachronic interpretation

There is another way to think of Bayes's theorem: it gives us a way to update the probability of a hypothesis, H , in light of some body of data, D .

This way of thinking about Bayes's theorem is called the **diachronic interpretation**. "Diachronic" means that something is happening over time; in this case the probability of the hypotheses changes, over time, as we see new data.

Rewriting Bayes's theorem with H and D yields:

$$p(H|D) = \frac{p(H) p(D|H)}{p(D)}$$

In this interpretation, each term has a name:

- $p(H)$ is the probability of the hypothesis before we see the data, called the **prior** probability, or just **prior**.
- $p(H|D)$ is what we want to compute, the probability of the hypothesis after we see the data, called the **posterior**.
- $p(D|H)$ is the probability of the data under the hypothesis, called the **likelihood**.
- $p(D)$ is the probability of the data under any hypothesis, called the **normalizing constant**.

Sometimes we can compute the prior based on background information. For example, the cookie problem specifies that we choose a bowl at random with equal probability.

In other cases the prior is subjective; that is, reasonable people might disagree, either because they use different background information or because they interpret the same information differently.

The likelihood is usually the easiest part to compute. In the cookie problem, if we know which bowl the cookie came from, we find the probability of a vanilla cookie by counting.

The normalizing constant can be tricky. It is supposed to be the probability of seeing the data under any hypothesis at all, but in the most general case it is hard to nail down what that means.

Most often we simplify things by specifying a set of hypotheses that are

Mutually exclusive:

At most one hypothesis in the set can be true, and

Collectively exhaustive:

There are no other possibilities; at least one of the hypotheses has to be true.

I use the word **suite** for a set of hypotheses that has these properties.

In the cookie problem, there are only two hypotheses—the cookie came from Bowl 1 or Bowl 2—and they are mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive.

In that case we can compute $p(D)$ using the law of total probability, which says that if there are two exclusive ways that something might happen, you can add up the probabilities like this:

$$p(D) = p(B_1) p(D|B_1) + p(B_2) p(D|B_2)$$

Plugging in the values from the cookie problem, we have

$$p(D) = (1/2) (3/4) + (1/2) (1/2) = 5/8$$

which is what we computed earlier by mentally combining the two bowls.

The M&M problem

M&M's are small candy-coated chocolates that come in a variety of colors. Mars, Inc., which makes M&M's, changes the mixture of colors from time to time.

In 1995, they introduced blue M&M's. Before then, the color mix in a bag of plain M&M's was 30% Brown, 20% Yellow, 20% Red, 10% Green, 10% Orange, 10% Tan. Afterward it was 24% Blue, 20% Green, 16% Orange, 14% Yellow, 13% Red, 13% Brown.

Suppose a friend of mine has two bags of M&M's, and he tells me that one is from 1994 and one from 1996. He won't tell me which is which, but he gives me one M&M from each bag. One is yellow and one is green. What is the probability that the yellow one came from the 1994 bag?

This problem is similar to the cookie problem, with the twist that I draw one sample from each bowl/bag. This problem also gives me a chance to demonstrate the table method, which is useful for solving problems like this on paper. In the next chapter we will solve them computationally.

The first step is to enumerate the hypotheses. The bag the yellow M&M came from I'll call Bag 1; I'll call the other Bag 2. So the hypotheses are:

- A: Bag 1 is from 1994, which implies that Bag 2 is from 1996.
- B: Bag 1 is from 1996 and Bag 2 from 1994.

- [*Friendfluence: The Surprising Ways Friends Make Us Who We Are* book](#)
- [download online The Deserters: A Hidden History of World War II book](#)
- [read *Looking for Yesterday \(Sharon McCone, Book 29\)* pdf, azw \(kindle\)](#)
- [download online *The Woman* here](#)

- <http://fortune-touko.com/library/Friendfluence--The-Surprising-Ways-Friends-Make-Us-Who-We-Are.pdf>
- <http://patrickvincitore.com/?ebooks/The-Deserters--A-Hidden-History-of-World-War-II.pdf>
- <http://conexdx.com/library/Deleuze--A-Critical-Reader.pdf>
- <http://musor.ruspb.info/?library/The-101-Most-Influential-People-Who-Never-Lived--How-Characters-of-Fiction--Myth--Legends--Television--and-Mov>